#### Nuclear Magnetic Resonance

Chem 634 T. Hughbanks

# Books, Web sites, etc.

- ✿ J. Iggo, "NMR Spectroscopy in Inorganic Chemistry"
- J. K. M. Sanders and B K. Hunter, "Modern NMR Spectroscopy; A Guide for Chemists"
- ✿ H. Friebolin, "Basic One- and Two-Dimensional NMR Spectroscopy"
- D. Canet, "Nuclear Magnetic Resonance; Concepts and Methods"
- E. A. V. Ebsworth, D. W. H. Rankin, S. Cradock, "Structural Methods in Inorganic Chemistry", Chapter 2.
- **A** R. S. Drago, "Physical Methods", Chapters 7, 8, and 12.

•

8

- For serious NMR students, some excellent on-line resources <u>http://www.spectroscopynow.com/coi/cda/landing.cda?</u>
  <u>chld=5&type=Education</u>
- Guillermo Moyna's good NMR lectures (from which I've borrowed some)
   <u>http://208.7.154.206/gmoyna/NMR\_lectures/NMR\_lectures.html</u>
  - A good site for NMR basics: <u>http://www.cis.rit.edu/htbooks/nmr/</u> inside.htm
  - A good site for basic organic spectra and some problems: <u>http://</u> www.cem.msu.edu/~reusch/VirtualText/Spectrpy/nmr/nmr1.htm



An introduction to modern NMR spectroscopy



More Advanced Inorganic/ Organometallic Reference

# NMR is Broadly Applicable

- Nuclear Magnetic Resonance
- Applies to atoms and molecules with nuclear spin quantum numbers greater than zero.
- Applied magnetic field induces Zeeman splittings in spin states, and energy is absorbed from radiation when the frequency meets the resonance condition,  $hv = \Delta E \propto \mu_N \times H_0$
- $1/\lambda \sim 10^{-3} \text{ cm}^{-1}$ ,  $v = c/\lambda \sim 25-500 \text{ MHz}$

# Spectroscopy: The Big Picture

NMR

				SP	ECTRAL	RANGE			Г	<u>لمر</u>
Υ-ray X	lard S -ray X	Soft Vac -ray L	uum Ne	ear Visi V blue	ble Ne red II	ear Mi R <mark>,</mark> IF	d Far R IR	Sub- mm- mmw wave	Micro- F wave	Radio- wave
← < 0.1 Å	5 Å	100 Å 10 nm	2000 Å 200 nm	400 nm	0.7 µm 700 nm	2.5 µm 2500 nm	25 µm	1 mm	10 cr	n→}λ
> 10 <sup>9</sup>	2×10 <sup>7</sup>	10 <sup>6</sup>	5×10 <sup>4</sup>	2.5×10 <sup>4</sup>	1.4×10 <sup>4</sup>	4000	400	10	0.1	(cm <sup>-1</sup> )
12×10 <sup>9</sup>	240×10 <sup>6</sup>	12×10 <sup>6</sup>	600×10 <sup>3</sup>	300×10 <sup>3</sup>	170×10 <sup>3</sup>	48×10 <sup>3</sup>	5×10 <sup>3</sup>	120	1.2	E (J mol <sup>-1</sup> )
120 000	2400	120	6	3	1.7	0.5	0.05	0.001	0.0000	1 (eV)
3×10 <sup>1</sup>	<sup>9</sup> 6×10 <sup>17</sup>	′ 3×10 <sup>16</sup>	1.5×10 <sup>15</sup>	7.5×10 <sup>14</sup>	4×10 <sup>14</sup>	1.2×10 <sup>14</sup>	1.2×10 <sup>13</sup>	3×10 <sup>11</sup>	3×10 <sup>5</sup>	ο ν (Hz)
	XRF	<b>۱</b> ـــــــــ	Electr	onic —		1		Rotational		4
Mössbauer	XPS	UPS			<b>}</b>	- Vibratior	nal		EPR	NQR
GEI	<sup>D</sup> XRD			- SPECTI	ROSCOPI	C TECHN	IIQUES —			
← Nuclea	r energies		Chem	ical energ	ies		Molecula	<sup>-</sup> energies	Spin energ	jies

#### Basic Aspects of the NMR Phenomenon

# Zeeman interaction for proton

If a proton is placed in a magnetic field,  $\mathbf{H} = H_z \hat{\mathbf{z}}$ , the proton's energy will depend on its  $m_l$  value. The Zeeman interaction between the applied field and the magnetic moment of the proton is illustrated as:



# Sensitivity, Populations

Even at 300 MHz (H = 7.05 T), the population difference of the two spin states for a proton is ~10<sup>-5</sup>. Since other nuclei have smaller gyromagnetic ratios, they exhibit even smaller differences at the same field.

$$\frac{N_{\beta}}{N_{\alpha}} = e^{-\Delta E/k_{B}T} \simeq 1 - \frac{\Delta E}{k_{B}T} = 0.99999135$$
$$(H = 7.05\text{T}; T = 298\text{K})$$

## Time Scale

- NMR is generally considered to be a slow technique, with a characteristic time scale, τ ~ 10<sup>-7</sup> s. (Recall, 1/τ = ν ~ 100 MHz.)
- Molecular events occurring in times much faster than 10<sup>-4</sup> s or less are "time averaged" in NMR (a more careful distinction to come later).

#### **Miscellaneous Familiar Matters**

- For solution spectra, the immediate chemical/structural information is conveyed by the *chemical shifts* and the *spin-spin couplings*.
- Nuclei with I >1/2 have quadrupole moments even solution spectra are broadened when such nuclei experience electric field gradients.
- The ratios of resonance frequencies for a given field are equal to the ratios of the gyromagnetic constants:  $\gamma_A/\gamma_B = v_A/v_B$ . For example, on a "300 MHz spectrometer", <sup>13</sup>C spectra occur at 75.44 MHz since  $\gamma_{^{13}C}/\gamma_{^{1}H} = \frac{6728}{26,753} = \frac{75.44}{_{300}}$ . (It would be better to call a "300 MHz spectrometer" a 7.046 T spectrometer.)

## **Chemical Shift Scale**

$$\delta = \frac{v - v_{\text{TMS}}}{v_{\text{TMS}}} \qquad \qquad \delta_{\text{ppm}} = 10^6 \times \frac{v - v_{\text{TMS}}}{v_{\text{TMS}}}$$

- In order to make NMR data independent of the field used, chemical shifts are reported relative to a standard (usually TMS for <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C).
- Since the shifts are generally small, they are always given in parts per million (ppm).

## Peak differences often in Hz

• It turns out to be more physically useful to think about differences between energies of NMR transitions in terms of differences in frequencies, e.g.,  $v_2 - v_1$ .

$$\begin{split} \delta_2 - \delta_1 &= 10^6 \times \frac{v_2 - v_{\text{TMS}}}{v_{\text{TMS}}} - 10^6 \times \frac{v_1 - v_{\text{TMS}}}{v_{\text{TMS}}} = 10^6 \times \frac{v_2 - v_1}{v_{\text{TMS}}} \\ \Rightarrow \quad v_2 - v_1 &= \frac{v_{\text{TMS}}}{10^6} (\delta_2 - \delta_1) \end{split}$$

#### Resonance, Larmor Frequency

$$\Delta E = \gamma_N \hbar H_0 = h \nu_L$$

( $\gamma_N$  is the gyromagnetic ratio for the nucleus in question)

- $v_L$  is the Larmor frequency:  $v_L = \left| \frac{\gamma_N}{2\pi} \right| H_0$ ;  $\omega_0 = \gamma_N H_0$ including chemical shift:  $v = \left| \frac{\gamma_N}{2\pi} \right| H_0 (1 - \sigma)$
- NMR transitions are stimulated (absorption and emission) by an additional oscillating (electro)magnetic field, H<sub>1</sub>, is applied at the Larmor frequency.
- Shielding is proportional to the applied field hence the units of chemical shift: ppm.

# More Familiar Matters

Resonance frequencies for two
interacting nuclei are given by

$$E = -h\sum_{A} v_{A}m_{A} + h\sum_{A < B} J_{AB}m_{A}m_{B}$$

where  $m_A$  is the *z*-component the spin on *A*, and  $J_{AB}$  is the coupling constant for *A* interacting with *B*.

• This expression applies when chemical shift differences are much greater than couplings,  $|v_A - v_B| >> J_{AB}$ .

#### Basic Spectra - <sup>1</sup>H





#### Basic Spectra - <sup>1</sup>H



## Basic Spectra - <sup>1</sup>H





## Notation for labeling nuclei

- Chemically and magnetically equivalent nuclei given the <u>same</u> label. (e.g., A)
- Chemically, but not magnetically equivalent nuclei given primes. (e.g, AA' for two, AA'A'' for three, etc.)
- Nuclei with small chemical shift differences (smaller or comparable to coupling between them) given alphabetically close labels (e.g., A, B, C, D, etc.)
- Inequivalent nuclei with large chemical shift differences given alphabetically distant labels (e.g., AMX, for three)
- One can describe a mix of types of nuclei
  - $A_2BMXY$  is a six-spin system with two equivalent nuclei (A), strongly coupled (compared to  $\Delta v_{AB}$ ) to one nucleus B, weakly coupled to one nucleus M (vs  $\Delta v_{AM}$ ) and very weakly coupled to X & Y
  - M is weakly coupled to all nuclei
  - B is only strongly coupled to A
  - X & Y are strongly coupled to each other and weakly to all other nuclei

#### A (disastrous) problem from the 2008 Final

On the next slide is a fairly accurate simulation of the line positions and intensities observed for the <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of methylethylketone, CH<sub>3</sub>COCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>, measured on an old continuous-wave 60 MHz (1.4093 T) NMR instrument (the TMS reference is not shown). Assign the spectrum, and in the blank space below the spectrum, draw an analogous stick-like spectrum that shows, as accurately as possible, what the spectrum would look like if recorded on a more modern 300 MHz (7.0463 T) instrument. Be careful to accurately place the positions of the peaks and their relative intensities.



<sup>1</sup>H NMR, 300 MHz



#### An "unknown" example - <sup>1</sup>H



#### An "unknown" example - <sup>1</sup>H



#### An "unknown" example - <sup>1</sup>H С В $C_8H_9CIO$ (<sup>1</sup>H; 250.13 MHz) С В 4 x А А 7.0 6.5 6.0 5.5 7.5 5.0 4.5 3.5 ppm 4.0

#### An "unknown" example - <sup>1</sup>H



# Even AMX can be a little complicated



FIGURE 12.12. 100-MHz spectrum of styrene oxide (25% in CCl<sub>4</sub>). The part of the spectrum due to aromatic protons is not shown.

#### Basic Spectra - <sup>13</sup>C





Chemical shifts of <sup>13</sup>C nuclei in organic compounds.

#### An "unknown" example - <sup>1</sup>H & <sup>13</sup>C





#### Origins of Chemical Shifts (Shielding)

$$H = H_0(1 - \sigma) \quad ; \quad \sigma = \sigma_d + \sigma_p$$

$$\sigma_d(zz) = \frac{e^2}{2mc^2} \langle 0 | \frac{x^2 + y^2}{r^2} | 0 \rangle$$

$$\sigma_p(zz) = -\left(\frac{e\hbar}{2mc}\right)^2 \sum_n \left\{ \frac{\langle 0 | L_z | n \rangle \langle n | \frac{2L_z}{r^3} | 0 \rangle}{E_n - E_0} + \frac{\langle 0 | \frac{2L_z}{r^3} | n \rangle \langle n | L_z | 0 \rangle}{E_n - E_0} \right\}$$

- Shielding consists of a diamagnetic ( $\sigma_d$ ) and paramagnetic ( $\sigma_p$ ) response of the electrons to the applied field.
- $\sigma_d$  arises from a first-order effect, reflecting the electron density distribution in the ground state. (Often dominant in proton spectra.)
- $\sigma_p$  arises from a second-order mixing of paramagnetic excited states into the ground state by the applied field (much like TIP). Often dominant for other nuclei.

Nucleus	Ι	g <sub>N</sub>	$\gamma_N$ (radians sec <sup>-1</sup> · gauss <sup>-1</sup> )	Nucleus	Ι	$g_N$ S	$\gamma_N$ (radians sec <sup>-1</sup> · gauss <sup>-1</sup> )	Q (10 <sup>-24</sup> cm <sup>2</sup> )
H <sup>1</sup>	1/2	5.585	26,753	D <sup>2</sup>	1	0.857	4,107	0.00274
C <sup>13</sup>	1/2	1.405	6,728	Li <sup>7</sup>	3/2	2.171	10,398	0.02
$N^{15}$	1/2	-0.567	-2,712	$B^{11}$	3/2	1.791	8,853	0.00355
$F^{19}$	1/2	5.257	25,179	$N^{14}$	1	0.403	1,934	0.02
4.7% Si <sup>29</sup>	1/2	-1.111	-5,319	O <sup>17</sup>	5/2	-0.757	-3,628	-0.0265
00% P <sup>31</sup>	1/2	2.263	10,840	Na <sup>23</sup>	3/2	1.478	7,081	+1.00 or
<b>D 7</b> 0/ <b>D 1</b> 95	1 /2	1 1 2 0	5 7 4 7					$-0.836^{a}$
5./% Pt <sup>25</sup>	1/2	1.120	5,/4/	S <sup>33</sup>	3/2	0.429	2,054	-0.064
				Cl <sup>35</sup>	3/2	0.548	2,624	-0.079
				Cl <sup>37</sup>	3/2	0.456	2,184	-0.062
				K <sup>39</sup>	3/2	0.261	1,250	0.113

Nuclei with no spin:  $C^{12} O^{16} O^{18} Si^{28} S^{32} Ca^{40}$  <sup>a</sup> The quadrupole

<sup>a</sup> The quadrupole moment of Na<sup>23</sup> is uncertain.

# Relaxation

#### Iggo, Sec .1-10

- Refers to processes by which spins nonradiatively lose energy
- The phenomenon of relaxation is crucial to NMR. In the (obsolete) continuous wave method, signals would rapidly saturate because spin populations can become equal. In FT-NMR, relaxation is necessary to bring system to equilibrium between pulses.
- T<sub>1</sub>: spin-lattice relaxation, dissipation of energy to surroundings (non-spin degrees of freedom)
- $T_2$ : spin-spin relaxation, transfer of energy to other spins.  $T_1 \ge T_2$
- Quadrupole moments, Q, cause T<sub>2</sub> to shorten greatly, leading to line-broadening

#### **Correlation times and Relaxation**



Relaxation effects depend on the frequency spectrum of the local fields experienced by a nuclear spin. The correlation time,  $\tau_c$ , is a characteristic time scale of fluctuations (due to molecular tumbling ~ rotational Brownian motion) in solution. Relaxation is most efficient when  $1/\tau_c \sim v$ .

Isotope	Spin	Natural abundance/ %	Magnetogyric ratio <sup>6</sup> / 10 <sup>7</sup> rad T <sup>-1</sup> s <sup>-1</sup>	Relative NMR frequency/ MHz	Relative receptivity	Quadrupole moment <sup>b</sup> / 10 <sup>-28</sup> m <sup>2</sup>
<sup>2</sup> H <sup>c</sup>	1	0.015	4.1066	15.4	$1.5 \times 10^{-6}$	$2.8 \times 10^{-3}$
7r :	2/2	7.4	3.93/1	14.7	$2.7 \times 10^{-1}$	$-6 \times 10^{-2}$
PR-	3/2	92.0	2 7506	14 1	$1.4 \times 10^{-2}$	$5 \times 10^{-2}$
10D	3/2	100.0	- 3.7390	14.1	$3.9 \times 10^{-3}$	$85 \times 10^{-2}$
	3/2	19.0	2.0740	22.1	$1.3 \times 10^{-1}$	$4.1 \times 10^{-2}$
14NIC	3/2	80.4	1 0229	7 2	$1.0 \times 10^{-3}$	$1 \times 10^{-2}$
170	5/2	99.0	2 6270	12.6	$1.0 \times 10^{-5}$	$-26 \times 10^{-2}$
23NI-	3/2	0.037	- 3.02/9	26.5	$0.1 \times 10^{-2}$	$-2.0 \times 10^{-1}$
1Na 25	5/2	100.0	1.620	20.5	$9.3 \times 10^{-4}$	$2.2 \times 10^{-1}$
27 A 1	5/2	10.1	- 1.039	26.1	$2.7 \times 10^{-1}$	$1.5 \times 10^{-1}$
330	3/2	100.0	0.9700	20.1	$1.7 \times 10^{-5}$	$1.5 \times 10^{-2}$
35 01	3/2	0.70	2.055	1.1	$1.7 \times 10^{-3}$	$-3.3 \times 10^{-1}$
37 CI	3/2	75.5	2.0240	9.8	$5.0 \times 10^{-4}$	$-7.0 \times 10^{-2}$
39vd	3/2	24.5	2.1042	0.2	$1.7 \times 10^{-4}$	$-7.9 \times 10^{-2}$
43	3/2	93.1	1.2490	4.7	$4.0 \times 10^{-6}$	4.9 × 10 <sup>-1e</sup>
45 Ca	7/2	0.15	- 1.8025	24.3	$3.0 \times 10^{-1}$	$-22 \times 10^{-1}$
5C	5/2	100.0	0.5001	24.5	$3.0 \times 10^{-4}$	$-2.2 \times 10^{-1}$
49-72	3/2	1.5	-1.5105	5.6	$1.3 \times 10^{-4}$	$2.9 \times 10^{-1}$
Slard	7/2	5.5	-1.5109	26.2	$2.1 \times 10^{-1}$	$2.4 \times 10^{-2}$
53	1/2	99.0	1.0433	20.5	$3.6 \times 10^{-5}$	$-3 \times 10^{-2}$
55 M-	5/2	9.0	-1.512	24.7	$1.8 \times 10^{-1}$	$4 \times 10^{-1}$
59 Co	3/2	100.0	6.008	24.7	$1.0 \times 10^{-1}$	$3.8 \times 10^{-1}$
61 NI:	2/2	1.2	0.317	23.0	$4.1 \times 10^{-5}$	$1.6 \times 10^{-1}$
63	3/2	1.2	- 2.394	0.7	$4.1 \times 10^{-2}$	$1.0 \times 10^{-1}$
65 Cu	3/2	09.1	7.0974	20.5	$2.5 \times 10^{-2}$	$-2.1 \times 10^{-1}$
67 g	3/2	30.9	7.0031	20.4	$3.0 \times 10^{-4}$	$-2.0 \times 10^{-1}$
69 C	5/2	4.1	1.0/08	0.3	$1.2 \times 10^{-2}$	$1.0 \times 10^{-1}$
71 Ca	3/2	00.4	0.4323	24.0	$4.2 \times 10^{-2}$	$1.7 \times 10^{-1}$
73 Ca	3/2	39.0	0.1/31	30.0	$1.1 \times 10^{-4}$	$-1.8 \times 10^{-1}$
75 A -	9/2	1.8	-0.9357	3.5	$1.1 \times 10^{-2}$	$-1.0 \times 10$
79D-	3/2	100.0	4.393	25.1	$2.5 \times 10^{-2}$	$2.7 \times 10^{-1}$
	3/2	50.5	0.1228	23.1	$4.0 \times 10^{-2}$	$3.7 \times 10^{-1}$
Br	3/2	49.5	7.2468	27.1	$4.9 \times 10$	$3.1 \times 10$

 Table 2.2
 NMR properties of some quadrupolar nuclei<sup>a</sup>
Isotope	Spin	Natural abundance/ %	Magnetogyric ratio <sup>b</sup> / 10 <sup>7</sup> rad T <sup>-1</sup> s <sup>-1</sup>	Relative NMR frequency/ MHz	Relative receptivity	Quadrupole moment <sup>b</sup> / 10 <sup>-28</sup> m <sup>2</sup>
<sup>87</sup> Rb <sup>d</sup>	3/2	27.9	8.7807	32.8	$4.9 \times 10^{-2}$	$1.3 \times 10^{-1}$
<sup>87</sup> Sr	9/2	7.0	-1.163	4.3	$1.9 \times 10^{-4}$	$3 \times 10^{-1}$
<sup>91</sup> Zr	5/2	11.2	-2.4959	9.3	$1.1 \times 10^{-3}$	$-2.1 \times 10^{-1f}$
93Nb	9/2	100.0	6.564	24.5	$4.9 \times 10^{-1}$	$-2.2 \times 10^{-1}$
<sup>95</sup> Mo	5/2	15.7	1.750	6.5	$5.1 \times 10^{-4}$	$\pm 1.2 \times 10^{-1}$
<sup>97</sup> Mo	5/2	9.5	-1.787	6.7	$3.3 \times 10^{-4}$	±1.1
<sup>99</sup> Ru	5/2	12.7	1.2348	4.6	$1.5 \times 10^{-4}$	$7.6 \times 10^{-2}$
<sup>101</sup> Ru	5/2	17.1	1.383 8	5.2	$2.8 \times 10^{-4}$	$4.4 \times 10^{-1}$
<sup>105</sup> Pd	5/2	22.2	-1.23	4.6	$2.5 \times 10^{-4}$	$8 \times 10^{-1}$
<sup>115</sup> In <sup>d</sup>	9/2	95.7	5.8908	22.0	$3.4 \times 10^{-1}$	$8.3 \times 10^{-1}$
<sup>121</sup> Sb	5/2	57.3	6.4355	24.0	$9.3 \times 10^{-2}$	$-2.8 \times 10^{-1}$
<sup>123</sup> Sb	7/2	42.7	3.4848	13.0	$2.0 \times 10^{-2}$	$-3.6 \times 10^{-1}$
<sup>127</sup> I	5/2	100.0	5.3817	20.1	$9.5 \times 10^{-2}$	$-7.9 \times 10^{-1}$
<sup>131</sup> Xe <sup>c</sup>	3/2	21.2	2.206	8.2	$5.9 \times 10^{-4}$	$-1.2 \times 10^{-1}$
133Cs	7/2	100.0	3.5277	13.2	$4.8 \times 10^{-2}$	$-3 \times 10^{-3}$
137Ba d	3/2	11.3	2.988	11.1	$7.9 \times 10^{-4}$	$2.8 \times 10^{-1}$
139La	7/2	99.9	3.801	14.2	$6.0 \times 10^{-2}$	$2.2 \times 10^{-1}$
<sup>177</sup> Hf	7/2	18.5	1.081	4.0	$2.6 \times 10^{-4}$	4.5
<sup>179</sup> Hf	9/2	13.8	-0.679	2.5	$7.4 \times 10^{-5}$	5.1
<sup>181</sup> Ta	7/2	99.99	3.22	12.0	$3.7 \times 10^{-2}$	3
<sup>185</sup> Re	5/2	37.1	6.077	22.7	$5.1 \times 10^{-2}$	2.3
<sup>187</sup> Re	5/2	62.9	6.138	22.9	$8.8 \times 10^{-2}$	2.2
189Os C	3/2	16.1	2.096	7.8	$3.9 \times 10^{-4}$	$8 \times 10^{-1}$
<sup>191</sup> Ir	3/2	37.3	0.4643	1.7	$9.8 \times 10^{-6}$	1.1
<sup>193</sup> Ir	3/2	62.7	0.5054	1.9	$2.1 \times 10^{-5}$	1.0
<sup>197</sup> Au	3/2	100.0	0.4625	1.7	$2.6 \times 10^{-5}$	$5.9 \times 10^{-1}$
<sup>201</sup> Hg <sup>c</sup>	3/2	13.2	-1.7776	6.6	$1.9 \times 10^{-4}$	$4.4 \times 10^{-1}$
<sup>209</sup> Bi	9/2	100.0	4.2342	16.2	$1.4 \times 10^{-1}$	$-3.8 \times 10^{-1}$

Table 2.2 NMR properties of some quadrupolar nuclei (cont'd)

•

<sup>a</sup> In general, radioactive nuclei, the rare gases, and the lanthanides are omitted. All the lanthanides except cerium have potentially useful NMR isotopes. <sup>b</sup> G.H. Fuller, J. Phys. Chem. Ref. Data, 5, 835 (1976), except where otherwise stated. <sup>c</sup> A spin 1/2 isotope also exists. <sup>d</sup> Other less important quadrupolar isotopes also exist. <sup>c</sup> R. Neumann, F. Träger, J. Kowalski and G. zu Putlitz, Z. Physik, A279, 249 (1976). <sup>f</sup> S. Büttgenbach, R. Dicke, H. Gebauer, R. Kuhnen and F. Träber, Z. Physik, A286, 125 (1978). <sup>g</sup> C. Brévard and P. Granger, J. Chem. Phys., 75, 4175 (1981).

# The NMR Experiment

http://www-keeler.ch.cam.ac.uk/lectures/

Chapter 3: The Vector Model

Chapter 4: Fourier Transformation and Data Processing

Chapter 5: How the Spectrometer Works

# NMR Spectrometers

- Typical now are 5-10T magnets must be very stable and highly homogeneous
- RF transmitter and pick-up coils
- Decoupler
- Recording device, computer for Fast Fourier Transforms, FID storage

### More Experimental Aspects

- Must lock on a resonance frequency of a nucleus that is not the one being measured to maintain stability. <sup>1</sup>H NMR uses <sup>2</sup>H (i.e., D).
- Probe is at the center of the field and consists of a sample holder with coils. The radiofrequency of the nucleus under investigation is applied to the sample via the transmitter coil, and, at resonance, a voltage is induced in the receiver coil which detects sample magnetization.
- The sample is spinning during the experiment to ensure optimum field homogeneity. The sample holder acts as a turbine driven by compressed air.
- Most instruments have another coil used as decoupler and a variable temperature device.

#### **NMR Instrumentation**



Magnet - Normally superconducting. Some electromagnets and permanent magnets (EM-360, EM-390) still around.

- Frequency generator Creates the alternating current (at  $\omega_r$ ) that induces  $H_1$ . Continuous wave or pulsed.
- **Detector** Subtracts a constant base frequency very close to  $\omega_0$  that is essentially the frequency of the "rotating frame",  $\omega_r$ . The output frequencies,  $\omega_0 - \omega_r$ , are much lower and much easier to deal with. (In this respect, an NMR machine is much like a an expensive FM radio.)
- *Recorder* XY plotter, oscilloscope, computer, etc.

# Macroscopic Magnetization

The molar bulk nuclear magnetization ( $H_0$  = applied field),

$$M = g_N^2 \frac{\mu_N^2 N_A}{3k_B T} I(I+1) H_0 = \gamma_N^2 \hbar^2 \frac{N_A}{3k_B T} \Big[ I(I+1) \Big] H_0$$

- This applies to a mole of nuclei with spin *I* and is derived in exactly the same way as for Curie's law for electronic magnetic moments.
- It is very small, since  $\mu_N = (\mu_B / 1836)$ .

## **Resultant Magnetization**



- At equilibrium, there is no transverse component to the magnetization.
- In many instances, the behavior of *M*<sub>0</sub> is envisioned as it "evolves" in NMR experiments.

Iggo, Sec .1-12

# **Resultant Magnetization**

• At equilibrium, there is a slight excess of "spins-up", but no net transverse component to the magnetization.

Ζ



Adapted from http://tonga.usip.edu/gmoyna/ NMR\_lectures/NMR\_lectures.html, lecture 1



Basic Pulse Experiment -Assume one type of nucleus

- a) Begin at equilibrium magnetization for the applied field.
- b) Perturb the system with a transverse RF pulse and M will precess about the *z*axis at the Larmor frequency,  $\omega_0 = \gamma H_0$ .

#### Basic Pulse Experiment - Assume one type of nucleus

Apply a perturbing field,  $H_1$ , oscillating at angular frequency  $\omega_0$  along a) the *x*-axis. The energy source is an oscillating electromagnetic radiation generated by an alternating current:





Transmitter coil (y)

b)

C)

The linear oscillating field  $H_1$  can be described as a superposition of two fields rotating away from the *x*-axis:



We can restrict attention to the circular component moving in the same direction as the precession of M.

#### **Basic Pulse Experiment**

$$\boldsymbol{\omega}_0 = \boldsymbol{\gamma}_N \boldsymbol{H}_0$$



The relative orientations of the magnetization, **M**, its xycomponent, **M**<sub>xy</sub>, and the rotating RF field, **H**<sub>1</sub>.  $H_1$ , oscillating at angular frequency  $\omega_0$  in the laboratory frame, follows M's motion around the *z*-axis and applies a torque to M that drives M into the *xy*-plane.

# Motion of M in the Lab Frame



The magnetization vector, M, traces a complex path (referred to as *nutation*) in the <u>laboratory frame</u> when rf field  $H_1$  is applied.

Most vector diagrams of M therefore refer the <u>rotating frame</u> rotating at the Larmor frequency.



### Motion of ${\bf M}$ in the Lab Frame

#### View in the rotating frame



$$\theta_{\text{tip}} = \gamma H_1 t_{\text{pulse}} \quad \bullet$$
$$H_1 = H_1(\omega_r) \; ; \; \omega_r \simeq \omega_0 = \gamma H_0$$

It is understood that 'tip angle diagrams' refer to the *rotating frame*.

If we adopt a frame of reference that rotates at an angular frequency at (or very near to)  $\omega_0$ ,  $\mathbf{H}_1$  and  $\mathbf{M}$ are fixed (or nearly fixed) in Intigeneral,  $\gamma H_1 \gg |\gamma H_0 - \omega_r|$ 

If  $\mathbf{H}_1$  is applied as a pulse for time  $t_p$  (~ 10 µs), the 'tip angle',  $\theta$ , through which **M** is tilted is  $\theta = \gamma H_1 t_p$ .

Usually, the time of the pulse is referred to by the tip angle (i.e., as a  $\pi/2$ 

# More than one kind of nucleus



Effect of a  $\pi/2$  pulse on M paths: path **a**: on resonance ( $\omega_a = \omega_r$ ) path **b**:  $\gamma H_1 = 2|\omega_b - \omega_r|$ path **c**:  $\gamma H_1 = |\omega_c - \omega_r|$ path **d**:  $1.5\gamma H_1 = |\omega_d - \omega_r|$ **A**,**B**,**C**,**D**: respective effective field directions.

- Inequivalent nuclei have
  different Larmor frequencies.
- It is therefore impossible for  $H_1(\omega_r)$  to be exactly "on resonance" with all the lines in the spectrum.
- The greater a peak is offset from  $\omega_r$ , the greater those nuclei's magnetizations will be from those which are on resonance.
- For nuclei b, c, and d, this pulse is "soft" and not really a π/2 pulse. To make the pulse "hard", we want to increase H<sub>1</sub> and shorten t<sub>p</sub>.

# Hard Pulse - Example

- Consider a proton spectrum spanning 10 ppm to be measured on a 500 MHz spectrometer
- Put the transmitter (source of  $\mathbf{H}_1(\omega)$ ) near 5 ppm
- Maximum offset  $\approx 5 \times 500$ Hz = 2500 Hz
- On a typical spectrometer, a 90° pulse might last about 12 μs.

Is the RF power "strong" over all the spectrum?





# Hard Pulse - Example

- Consider a proton spectrum spanning 10 ppm to be measured on a 500 MHz spectrometer
- Put the transmitter (source of  $H_1(\omega)$ ) near 5 ppm
- Maximum offset  $\approx 5 \times 500$  Hz = 2500 Hz
- On a typical spectrometer, a 90° pulse might last about 12 μs. Calculating,

The tip angle is given by  $\theta_{tip} = \gamma H_1 t_{pulse}$ 

Therefore,  $\omega_1 = \gamma H_1 = \frac{\theta_{\text{tip}}}{t_{\text{pulse}}} = \frac{\pi/2}{12 \times 10^{-6} \text{ s}} = 1.3 \times 10^5 \text{ s}^{-1} = 130000 \text{ radians s}^{-1}$ 

Maximum offset is  $2\pi \times 2500 \text{ Hz} = 15700 \text{ radians s}^{-1}$ 

RF field frequency is about 8 times the offset.

# Data Acquisition



(a)

Fig. 1.9. One second of the FID, and the spectrum, of a system containing two frequencies: (a) reference is 30 Hz from the central frequency; (b) reference is 50 Hz from the central frequency; (c) Fourier transformed spectrum from (b).

- After the pulse is applied, data is collected as "Free Induction decays" (FIDs) until the signal begins to descend into the noise.
- FIDs are Fourier transformed to pull our their characteristic frequencies (or their differences with respect to a reference frequency).

## Basic 1-Pulse FT NMR Experiment



 Summary: After the pulse, the time-domain FID data is collected over the "acquisition time". Then there is a delay time to allow the spin system to return to equilibrium...then another pulse...

http://www.scs.uiuc.edu/~mainzv/Basics/basics.htm

#### Peak intensities and Relaxation times

The intensity observed for a given resonance ( $I_A$ ) is proportional to the extent to which the pulse induced magnetization decays to its equilibrium value:

$$\begin{split} I_A &\propto \left( M_0 - M_z(0) \right) \left( 1 - e^{-\tau/T_{1A}} \right) \\ \frac{\left[ A \right]}{\left[ B \right]} &= \frac{I_A}{I_B} \times \frac{1 - e^{-\tau/T_{1B}}}{1 - e^{-\tau/T_{1A}}} \end{split}$$

 $M_0$  is the equilibrium longitudinal magnetization,  $M_z(0)$  is the longitudinal magnetization after the perturbing pulse,  $\tau$  is the acquisition time, and  $T_{1A}$ is the spin-lattice relaxation time for nucleus *A*. See Canet, Chapter 4.

#### Measuring T<sub>1</sub>: Inversion Recovery

• Measurement of  $T_1$  is important, as the relaxation rate of different nuclei in a molecule can tell us about their local mobility. We cannot measure it directly on the signal or the FID because  $T_1$  affects magnetization we don't detect. We use the following pulse sequence:  $180_{v(or x)}$   $90_v$  acquire



t<sub>D</sub>-

we are letting the signal decay by different amounts exclusively under the effect of longitudinal relaxation (T<sub>1</sub>), we'll see how different t<sub>p</sub>'s affect the intensity of the FID and the signal after FT.



Inversion Recovery, cont.

Depending on the  $t_D$ delay we use we get signals with varying intensity, which depends on the  $T_1$ relaxation time of the nucleus (peak) we are looking at.





22.63 MHz <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra of ethylbenzene (1), recorded by the inversion recovery method (Fig. 7-2) with  $\tau = 1, 5, 10, 15, 30, 50$  and 100 s [1].



# Spin Echo - T<sub>2</sub> measurement

- Measurement of T<sub>2</sub> can in principle be performed by calculating the decay in the FID envelope (which determines the line widths) because the signal on M<sub>xy</sub>, in theory, decays only due to transverse relaxation. In practice, however, contributions due to field inhomogeneity can dominate.
- The *spin-echo pulse sequence* allows us to avoid contributions inhomogeneous broadening:





The 180° pulse: refocusing



Different nuclei in the sample move away from the *y*-axis (to which M is tipped in the π/2 pulse) at different rates because of chemical shift differences and field inhomogeneity. The Hahn spin-echo sequence allows them to refocus at the -*y* axis. But transverse relaxation still attenuates the magnitude of M.

If we acquire an FID right after the echo, the intensity of the signal after FT will affected only by T<sub>2</sub> relaxation and not by dephasing due to an inhomoge-neous B<sub>o</sub>. We repeat this for different **t**<sub>D</sub>'s and plot the intensity against  $2 \cdot t_{\rm D}$ . In this case it's a simple exponential decay, and fitting

 $\oplus$ 

#### Spin echoes (continued)



## A Little Theory of 1-D NMR

#### Origins of Chemical Shifts (Shielding)

$$H = H_0 (1 - \sigma) \quad ; \quad \sigma = \sigma_d + \sigma_p$$
  
$$\sigma_d (zz) = \frac{e^2}{2mc^2} \langle 0 | \frac{x^2 + y^2}{r^2} | 0 \rangle$$
  
$$\sigma_p (zz) = -\left(\frac{e\hbar}{2mc}\right)^2 \sum_n \left\{ \frac{\langle 0 | L_z | n \rangle \langle n | \frac{2L_z}{r^3} | 0 \rangle}{E_n - E_0} + \frac{\langle 0 | \frac{2L_z}{r^3} | n \rangle \langle n | L_z | 0 \rangle}{E_n - E_0} \right\}$$

- Shielding consists of a diamagnetic ( $\sigma_d$ ) and paramagnetic ( $\sigma_p$ ) response of the electrons to the applied field.
- $\sigma_d$  arises from a first-order effect, reflecting the electron density distribution in the ground state. (Often dominant in proton spectra.)
- $\sigma_p$  arises from a second-order mixing of paramagnetic excited states into the ground state by the applied field (much like TIP). Often dominant for other nuclei.



<sup>11</sup>**B** NMR spectra for  $\text{Rb}_5\text{Zr}_6\text{Cl}_{18}\text{B}$  in acetonitrile with (a) no added ligand, (b) 6 eq. added TlPF<sub>6</sub>, and (c) 10 eq. added PPNCl. Labeling on the peaks (x = 0 - 6) indicates the number of terminal chlorides in  $[(\text{Zr}_6\text{BCl}_{12})(\text{NCCH}_3)_{6-x}\text{Cl}_x]^{1-x}$ .

### Chemical Shift Example: $[Zr_6BCI_{12}(MeOH)_{(6-x)}py_x]^+$

![](_page_67_Figure_1.jpeg)

<sup>11</sup>B spectrum of  $[Zr_6BCI_{12}(MeOH)_6]^+$ in methanol solvent, to which pyridine was added until the pyridine mole fraction was 5%.

# More on Spin-spin Coupling

• The nuclear spin Hamiltonian for two interaction nuclei, *A* and *X*, is

$$\mathcal{H} = -h\left(\mathbf{v}_{A}I_{A}^{z} + \mathbf{v}_{X}I_{X}^{z}\right) + hJ_{AZ}\mathbf{I}_{A} \cdot \mathbf{I}_{X}$$

where  $I_A^z$  and  $I_X^z$  are operators for the *z*-component the spin on *A* and *X*, and  $J_{AX}$  is the coupling constant for *A* interacting with *X*.

• This Hamiltonian will yield the energy expression given earlier (when chemical shift differences are much greater than couplings,  $|v_A - v_B| >> J_{AB}$ .

![](_page_69_Figure_0.jpeg)

### 2<sup>nd</sup>-Order Spectral Case

![](_page_70_Figure_1.jpeg)

## Range of cases

![](_page_71_Figure_1.jpeg)
#### **Miscellaneous Examples**

# **Coupling Examples**



Coupling of spin-1/2 nuclei works in pretty much the same way in all cases.

# Coupling to non-spin-1/2 Nuclei



<sup>73</sup>Ge (I = 9/2, 8% abundance) couples to equivalent protons in <sup>73</sup>GeH<sub>4</sub>. (<sup>1</sup>H spectrum)

**Fig 2.14** <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of GeH<sub>4</sub>. The ten evenly spaced lines are due to the 8% of the molecules which contain <sup>73</sup>Ge (I = 9/2). The intense central line arises from all other isotopic species.

# Coupling to Quadrupole Nuclei

Calculated band shapes for a spin 1/2 nucleus (<sup>1</sup>H) coupled to a spin 1 nucleus (<sup>14</sup>N). The shape depends on the ratio of <sup>14</sup>N relaxation rate to the NH coupling. For very fast relaxation just a single line is observed, while for slow relaxation there are three lines of equal intensity.

 Because spin-spin coupling induces spin wavefunction mixing, the relaxation of a quadrupole nucleus is partially "transferred" to spin-1/2 nuclei to which it is coupled.

# Si<sub>2</sub>H<sub>6</sub> (<sup>1</sup>H spectrum)





# Si<sub>2</sub>H<sub>6</sub> (<sup>1</sup>H spectrum)



# <sup>29</sup>Si (I = 1/2, 4.7% abundance)

#### $[Zr_6BCI_{12}(PEt_3)_6]^+ + ?$



a) <sup>11</sup>B spectrum of  $[Zr_6BCI_{12}(PEt_3)_6]^+$  plus an impurity.

b) <sup>31</sup>P spectrum of  $[Zr_6BCI_{12}(PEt_3)_6]^+$  plus an impurity.

What is the impurity?

<sup>11</sup>B (I = 3/2, 81.2% abundance), <sup>10</sup>B (I = 3, 18.8% abundance)



Correlations like the well-known Karplus relation shown here ultimately derive from the manner in which *s*-electron density is involved in wavefunctions that spread over two coupled nuclei.



#### Fig. 46. The vicinal Karplus correlation. Relationship between dihedral angle and coupling constant for vicinal protons.

# Coupling: Structural Correlations

For 3-bond coupling constants the empirical relation here is useful:  ${}^{3}J_{XY} = A\cos 2\phi + B\cos \phi + C$ 



FIGURE 12.12. 100-MHz spectrum of styrene oxide (25% in CCl<sub>4</sub>). The part of the spectrum due to aromatic protons is not shown.

#### *trans*-Rh(CO)Cl{Ph<sub>2</sub>PCH<sub>2</sub>P(O)Ph<sub>2</sub>}<sub>2</sub>: <sup>31</sup>P{<sup>1</sup>H}: First- and Second-order Coupling



 $^{103}$ Rh I =  $^{1}/_{2}$  abundance = 100%

Correlations ultimately derive from the manner in which *s*-electron density is involved in wavefunctions that spread over two coupled nuclei. Coupling: Structural Correlations



**Fig. 2.19** The size of the coupling constant is a good indication of the interbond angle, being smaller between similar groups in a *cis* orientation than when the coupling nuclei are mutually *trans*. Here the <sup>31</sup>P NMR spectrum of a palladium hydride complex is shown. The larger couplings are between *trans* groups. From data in Braunstein *et al. Inorg. Chem.*, 1992, **31**, 411.

# A Typical (Simple) Structural problem

2.4 The <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectrum of the carbonyl groups in one isomer of  $[W(CO)_4P(OMe)_3(SPh)]^$ is shown in Fig. 2.46. Identify the isomer and account for the form of the spectrum. What would you expect to see in the <sup>13</sup>C spectrum of the other isomer? [The figure is adapted, with permission, from D.J. Darensbourg, K.M. Sanchez and J. Reibenspies, *Inorg. Chem.*, 27, 3636 (1988). Copyright (1988) American Chemical Society.



# Chemical Shift Equivalence (isochronous nuclei)



- Two isomers of 1,3dibromo-1,3diphenylpropane
- How many chemicalshift equivalent sets of aliphatic protons in each isomer?

# Magnetic (In)equivalence

#### p-fluoronitrobenzene



difluoroethylenes



- Magnetically equivalent nuclei are coupled equally to every third nucleus in the spin system.
- In each molecule the protons are chemical shift equivalent?
- Which sets are *magnetically equivalent*?

# Magnetic (In)equivalence

 $C_5H_5N$  (simulated)



- Magnetically equivalent nuclei are coupled equally to every third nucleus in the spin system.
- The "unknown" here is an easy one, but the spectrum is more complicated than you might have expected.



### Notation for labeling nuclei

- Chemically and magnetically equivalent nuclei given the <u>same</u> label. (e.g., A)
- Chemically, but not magnetically equivalent nuclei given primes. (e.g, AA' for two, AA'A'' for three, etc.)
- Nuclei with small chemical shift differences (smaller or comparable to coupling between them) given alphabetically close labels (e.g., A, B, C, D, etc.)
- Inequivalent nuclei with large chemical shift differences given alphabetically distant labels (e.g., AMX, for three)
- One can describe a mix of types of nuclei
  - $A_2BMXY$  is a six-spin system with two equivalent nuclei (A), strongly coupled (compared to  $\Delta v_{AB}$ ) to one nucleus B, weakly coupled to one nucleus M (vs  $\Delta v_{AM}$ ) and very weakly coupled to X & Y
  - M is weakly coupled to all nuclei
  - B is only strongly coupled to A
  - X & Y are strongly coupled to each other and weakly to all other nuclei

# Examples (ignoring phenyl groups)



 $H_b'$ 

Br

Ph

 $H'_a$ 

Br

• AA'MM'

• ABM<sub>2</sub>

## More Examples

#### P-fluoronitrobenzene



 AA'BB' (in a sufficiently high field, AA'MM')

#### difluoroethylenes



all AA'XX' (AA'MM' equally good)

Characterize indicated protons as to chemicalshift and/or magnetic equivalence





 Both <sup>31</sup>PMe<sub>3</sub> couple to each of the <sup>31</sup>PEt<sub>3</sub> in an equivalent fashion (and vice versa).

# More Examples



- The <sup>31</sup>PMe<sub>3</sub> couple to <u>each</u> of the <sup>31</sup>PEt<sub>3</sub> differently (and vice versa), ∴ magnetically inequivalent.
- Sample data:
  - $J_{AB} = J_{A'B'} = 104 \text{ Hz}$
  - $J_{AA'} = J_{A'A} = 20 \text{ Hz}$
  - $J_{BB'} = J_{B'B} = 19.2 \text{ Hz}$
  - $J_{A'B} = J_{AB'} = J_{BA'} = J_{B'A} = 23 \text{ Hz}$

# Expected <sup>31</sup>P {<sup>1</sup>H} Spectrum



# Dynamic NMR

See Chapter 7, *NMR and Chemistry*, 4th Edition, J.W. Akitt and B. E. Mann.

### **Time Scale**

$$\Delta E = \gamma_N \hbar H_0 = h \nu_L$$
$$\nu_L = \left| \frac{\gamma_N}{2\pi} \right| H_0 \; ; \; \omega_0 = \gamma_N H_0$$

including chemical shift:  $v = \left| \frac{\gamma_N}{2\pi} \right| H_0 (1 - \sigma)$ 

- NMR is generally considered to be a slow technique, with a characteristic time scale, τ ~ 10-<sup>7</sup> s. (Recall, 1/τ = v ~ 100 MHz.)
- This is actually much faster than rates usually measured with NMR. Reactions with half-lives of 10<sup>-2</sup> s to 10<sup>-3</sup> s are more typical, I.e., the *difference* between resonance frequencies.



#### Thermodynamic and Kinetic Exchange Parameters

A 
$$\xrightarrow{k_A}$$
 B

Well **below** the coalesence point), the individual line widths are given by:

$$\Delta v_A = \frac{k_A}{\pi} = \frac{1}{\pi \tau_A} \quad ; \quad \Delta v_B = \frac{k_B}{\pi} = \frac{1}{\pi \tau_B}$$

In the limit of fast exchange (**above** the coalesence point), the line width is given by:

$$\Delta v = \frac{4\pi p_A p_B (\delta v_{AB})^2}{k_A + k_B}$$

 $p_A$  and  $p_B$  are the fractional populations of sites A and B.



#### Hindered Rotation

A 2-site example illustrating different line-shape regimes in a dynamic process amenable to NMR study.



Fig. 2.40 (a) Calculated band shapes for various values of exchange rate relative to frequency difference for two equally populated sites. (b) Gasphase <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra of N,N-dimethyl-<sup>13</sup>C<sub>2</sub>-formamide, 2.XXXI. The spectra, recorded at 427, 415, 410, 397 and 373 K. show two distinct resonances at low temperatures, when rotation about the central C-N bond is rapid. Spectra in (b) are taken, with permission, from B.D. Ross and N.S. True, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 106, 2451 (1984). Copyright (1984) American Chemical Society.



## Cyclohexane Interconversion

Another 2-site example illustrating different line-shape regimes in a dynamic process amenable to NMR study.

`D

٠H

D

Ή

Η-

Н

D~



# $T_{\rm C}$ and $k_{\rm C}$

- If (a) the exchange process is first-order,(b) there is no coupling between exchanging nuclei, and
  - (c) the two singlets have equal intensities:

For the coalescence temperature,  $T_C$ , the rate constant,  $k_C$ , is

$$k_C = \frac{\pi \Delta v}{\sqrt{2}} \cong 2.22 \,\Delta v$$

 $\Delta v$  is the chemical shift difference (in Hz) in the absence of exchange (determined at low temperature but extrapolated to high T).

• Even when (a), (b), and (c) are not exactly fulfilled, this is usually a decent estimate for  $k_{\rm C}$ .

# $T_{\rm C}$ and $k_{\rm C}$

 Coalescence is defined as the point at which the minimum between the high temperature peaks becomes a flat plateau.



Calculated spectra for exchange between two equally populated sites separated by 40 Hz.  $T_2$  values of both sites are 1 s.

$$k_C = \frac{\pi \Delta v}{\sqrt{2}} = \frac{40\pi}{\sqrt{2}} = 88.8 \text{ s}^{-1}$$

### The Slow Exchange Regime

$$W_{1/2} = \frac{1}{\pi T_2}$$

when spin-spin relaxation

is the mechanism controlling a spin's lifetime

Linewidth determined by time a spin spends at one location (nucleus)  $W_{1/2} = \frac{1}{\pi T_2} + \frac{1}{\pi \tau_{\text{ex}}} = \frac{1}{\pi T_2} + \frac{k}{\pi}$ 

where k is the rate constant for exchange

When exchange is occurring there are two mechanisms that shorten the lifetime of the spin state

$$\therefore \quad k = \pi \left[ \left( W_{1/2} \right)_{\text{ex}} - \frac{1}{\pi T_2} \right] = \pi \left[ \left( W_{1/2} \right)_{\text{ex}} - \left( W_{1/2} \right)_0 \right]$$
  
fairly accurate when  $\left( W_{1/2} \right)_{\text{ex}}$  is substantially larger than  $\left( W_{1/2} \right)_0$ 

# Fluxional Cp Rings

 The η<sup>1</sup>- and η<sup>5</sup>-Cp proton resonances coalesce at 36 °C. (What process must be quite rapid even at -27 °C?)





# $T_{\rm C}$ and $k_{\rm C}$ - more

- When expressed in ppm, chemical shifts are field independent. But  $\Delta v$  is in Hz, which means that  $\Delta v \propto H$ . Therefore,  $T_{\rm C}$  and  $k_{\rm C}$  increase with the field used in the NMR experiment (and the highest available field may not be the most appropriate).
- Coupling between exchanging nuclei can be handled: If nuclei A and B are exchanging and

are coupled (with constant  $J_{AB}$ )  $k_C$  is

$$k_{C} = \frac{\pi \Delta v}{\sqrt{2}} \cong 2.22 \sqrt{\left(\Delta v\right)^{2} + 6J_{AB}^{2}}$$

# An example with Coupling

- H<sup>A</sup> and H<sup>B</sup> are clearly inequivalent at -55 °C, but are equivalent in the fast exchange regime at 35 °C.
- How would this study have changed if carried out on a 300 MHz instrument?



60 MHz <sup>1</sup>H NMR signals of the ring protons H<sup>A</sup> and H<sup>B</sup>
### **Complete Line Shape Analysis**

- Record spectra at different temperatures, e.g.,
  - 3 slow-exchange spectra (incl. 1 stopped-exchange)
  - 5-10 spectra in coalescence regime
  - 3 spectra in near-fast and fast regime
- Analyze spectrum in stopped exchange regime Get v's, J's, line-widths ( $\Delta v_{1/2}$ 's)
- Calculate spectra in the coalescence regime, varying k(s) until observed and calculated spectra match. Repeat at other temperatures.
- If necessary, calculate spectra in fast-exchange limit.

#### **Evidence for Berry Pseudorotation**

Observed and calculated 36.43 MHz  ${}^{31}P{}^{1}H$  spectra of [Rh{P(OMe)\_3}\_5]<sup>+</sup> in CHCIF\_2/CH\_2CI\_2 (9:1) at -114 °C.



pairwise exchange (turnstile) mechanism



Berry pseudorotation (P<sub>4</sub> pivot)



## **Activation Parameters**

- Use of the Arrhenius plots (lnk vs. 1/T) are a lot of work since they require data for a range of temperatures (many measurements and full line-shape analyses)
- Estimate for  $\Delta G^{\ddagger}$  using the Eyring equation is easy with  $T_{\rm C}$  in hand:

$$k = \aleph \frac{k_B T}{h} e^{-\Delta G^{\ddagger}/RT} = \aleph \frac{k_B T}{h} e^{\Delta S^{\ddagger}/R} e^{-\Delta H^{\ddagger}/RT} \text{ or } \Delta G^{\ddagger} = RT \left\{ 23.759 + \ln\left(\frac{k}{T}\right) \right\}$$
$$\left( \text{at } T = 298.15 \text{ K}, \ \frac{k_B T}{h} = 6.21 \times 10^{12} \text{ s}^{-1}, \ \aleph \text{ is usually taken to be} \sim 1 \right)$$

An Eyring plot,  $\ln(k/T)$  vs. 1/T, would should yield  $\Delta H^{\ddagger}$  and  $\Delta S^{\ddagger}$ , but again, much more work. WARNING: This approach is still fraught with the potential for large errors, because the range temperatures on can measure is small, chemical shift dependence on T, linewidth misestimates, poor T calibration, and broadening due to unresolved coupling (see Akitt & Mann).

#### **More Accurate Activation Parameters**

Better activation parameters can be obtained from NMR kinetic studies:

- Use different spectrometers, with different frequencies. The *frequency difference* between resonances varies with field strength when the peak differences are due to chemical shifts (only the ppm values remain the same). For this reason, a rate study might be better carried out on a lower field instrument. (Coalescence occurs at a lower temperature in lower field.)
- (2) Different nuclei might be used (see example).
- (3) Different methods are useful in different regimes; e.g., lineshape analysis when rates are within an order of magnitudes of the coalescence rate and magnetization transfer for lower temperatures with slower rates.



### How does the ring whiz?





Fe

Peaks at  $\delta \approx 6.0$  (**B**) & 6.3 (**A**) are assigned to H<sub>3,4</sub> & H<sub>2,5</sub>, respectively.

**A** resonances collapse faster than **B** resonances. Mechanism? See problem set!



# Competing Mechanisms?

- At -3°C, the COD ethylenic protons and the diastereotopic (CH<sub>3</sub>)P are not exchanging.
- At 67°C, the COD ethylenic protons are exchanging, but the diastereotopic (CH<sub>3</sub>)P groups are not.
- At 117°C, the COD ethylenic protons and the diastereotopic (CH<sub>3</sub>)P are both exchanging.





# Competing Mechanisms?

- At -3°C, the COD ethylenic protons and the diastereotopic (CH<sub>3</sub>)P are not exchanging.
  - At 67°C, the COD ethylenic protons are exchanging, but the diastereotopic ( $CH_3$ )P groups are not.
  - At 117°C, the COD ethylenic protons and the diastereotopic  $(CH_3)P$  are both exchanging.



# Short Questions

- Explain each of the following observations.
  (a) The <sup>1</sup>H spectrum of GeH<sub>4</sub> shows <sup>73</sup>Ge satellites but the spectra of GeFH<sub>3</sub> and GeClH<sub>3</sub> do not.
  - (b) The <sup>13</sup>C-{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR spectrum of (bis)allylnickel at 200 K shows three resonances, of equal intensity; at 250 K, only two resonances are observed, in a 2:1 intensity ratio.
  - (c) The <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of rigorously dried <sup>14</sup>N-ammonia is a broad 1:1:1 triplet that becomes broader as the temperature is lowered; the <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of <sup>15</sup>Nammonia containing a trace of Na<sup>15</sup>NH<sub>2</sub> is a broadened 1:1 doublet that becomes sharper as the temperature is lowered.

Reaction of  $IF_7$  and  $SbF_5$  gives a 1:1 product. The <sup>19</sup>F NMR spectrum contains two sets of resonances: one with 6 lines of equal intensity; the other with overlapping patterns of 6 lines of equal intensity and 8 lines of equal intensity, the former being somewhat stronger. Explain.

<sup>121</sup>Sb <sup>5</sup>/<sub>2</sub>, **57.3** %, <sup>121</sup>Sb <sup>7</sup>/<sub>2</sub>, **42.7** %, <sup>127</sup>I <sup>5</sup>/<sub>2</sub>, **100** %

What coupling pattern would you expect to observe for a single proton coupled to (a) one and (b) two equivalent <sup>11</sup>B nuclei? (c) Same as (b) but with a "real sample?

<sup>11</sup>B <sup>3</sup>/<sub>2</sub>, 80.4 %, <sup>10</sup>B 3, 19.6 %

The <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of GeFH<sub>3</sub> consists of two lines separated by 42 Hz. What are the relative positions and intensities of all the lines in the <sup>19</sup>F spectrum of (a) GeDFH<sub>2</sub> (b) GeD<sub>2</sub>FH (c) GeD<sub>3</sub>F

 $W(CO)_6$  reacts with NaBH<sub>4</sub> to give an anionic product. Its tetraethylammonium salt has the empirical composition  $C_{18}H_{21}NO_{10}W_2$ . Its <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum includes a triplet, intensity ratio 1:6:1, at  $\delta$ -22.5 ppm, with a separation between the outer peaks of 42 Hz. What can you deduce about the structure of the anion?

<sup>183</sup>W, S =  $1/_2$ , 14.4 %