## Construction of Molecular Orbitals

The most common approach to constructing molecular orbitals,  $\{\psi_{\mu}; \mu = 1,...N\}$ , is to build them up from Linear Combinations of Atomic Orbitals,  $\{\varphi_i; i = 1,...N\}$ :

$$\psi_{\mu} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} c_{\mu} \varphi_{i} = c_{1\mu} \varphi_{1} + c_{2\mu} \varphi_{2} + \dots + c_{N\mu} \varphi_{N}.$$

In this so-called LCAO expression, the coefficients  $c_{1\mu}, c_{2\mu}, \cdots , c_{N\mu}$  give the contributions that each of the individual AOs  $\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \cdots , \varphi_N$  make to the MO  $\psi_{\mu}$ . The are three features of the

MOs that are worth noting:

(1) Starting with N atomic orbitals, we can construct N molecular orbitals. No more and no less.

(2) The MOs are mutually *orthogonal* and *normalized*. This means that the net overlap between any two MOs is zero and the net overlap of any MO with itself = 1.

(3) As a result of the Pauli exclusion principle, each MO can accommodate two electrons. One is spin-up ( $m_s = +1/2$ ), the other is spin-down ( $m_s = -1/2$ ).

In qualitative treatments, a minimal set of valence atomic orbitals is generally used for MO construction.

Homonuclear diatomic molecules (X<sub>2</sub>, X = H, He, Li,..., Ne). Notes:

(H<sub>2</sub> vs. He<sub>2</sub>):

• mixing of atomic orbitals leads to formation of *bonding* and *antibonding* orbitals; the *destabilization of antibonding orbitals* is slightly greater than the *stabilization of bonding orbitals*. This leads to slight net destabilization of molecules for which both bonding and antibonding orbitals are doubly occupied.

 $(2^{nd} row diatomics)$ :

- the separation of the  $\sigma$  and  $\pi$  bonding problem arises from symmetry controlled zero overlap.  $\pi$  orbital manifold remains pure p in character.
- net bond order = (1/2)[(# bonding electrons)-(# antibonding electrons)]
- s-p mixing (hybridization) is the result of s-p overlap and its magnitude is inversely proportional to the s-p orbital energy gap. As a consequence, there is no fixed s-p hybridization ratio as was assumed by Pauling.

Heteronuclear diatomic molecules.

(HF):

- mixing of atomic orbitals is inversely proportional to the energy difference between them and roughly proportional to the square of the overlap between them. The F 2p orbital is closer in energy to the H 1s orbital than is the F 2s orbital. The F 2s orbital is also more contracted than is 2p and its overlap with the H 1s is poorer. Most of the H-F bonding involves the 1s-2p interaction.
- the bonding 1s-2p combination is localized more on the electronegative fluorine atom, the antibonding combination is localized more on the more electropositive hydrogen.
- the  $p\pi$  orbitals on the fluorine remain localized on the fluorine atom they have no valence orbitals on hydrogen with which they can overlap.

(CO):

- as for the other diatomics, the separation of the σ and π bonding problem arises from symmetry controlled zero overlap. π orbital manifold remains pure p in character. This π manifold remains fairly simple — note that π is localized more on oxygen, π<sup>\*</sup> (the LUMO) is more localized on carbon.
- each of the σ levels possesses a more complex mixture of carbon and oxygen s & p orbital contributions. The pictures give a qualitative indication of their orbital character; try to understand how each of these orbital wavefunctions arises.
- the HOMO has predominantly carbon lone pair character. The HOMO and LUMO are important in understanding the chemistry of CO in binding to transition metals.

Simple polyatomics.

(linear and cyclic H<sub>3</sub>):

- We can build up MOs for polyatomic molecules from simpler fragments. A "fragmentation" of  $H_3$  molecules into  $H_2 + H$  has been chosen in these cases.
- symmetry is very useful in delimiting interactions. Note that the antisymmetric H<sub>2</sub> fragment orbitals have no overlap with the symmetric H orbital and thus remain unperturbed when the molecule is "assembled".
- A "correlation diagram" can be constructed to relate the molecular orbitals and energies of the linear and cyclic forms.
- bonding "patterns" present in these simple-minded cases can be recognized in many more realistic cases, e.g., 2e<sup>-</sup> systems: C<sub>3</sub>H<sub>3</sub>+, [CH<sub>2</sub>=CH-CH<sub>2</sub>]<sup>+</sup>, B<sub>2</sub>H<sub>7</sub><sup>-</sup> (= [H<sub>3</sub>BHBH<sub>3</sub>]<sup>-</sup>) & 4 e<sup>-</sup> systems: bifluoride (= FHF<sup>-</sup>), RCOO<sup>-</sup>, SO<sub>2</sub>, CO<sub>2</sub> (twice).

(H<sub>n</sub> systems):

- as the size of the systems increase, number of orbitals increases and their spacing decreases.
- MOs for two familiar cyclic systems are illustrated. Note that orbitals increase in energy as the number of nodes increases (and the number of antibonding interactions increases).
- the Hückel 4n+2 rule can be deduced from inspection of the cyclic systems. (H<sub>2</sub>O):
- symmetry adaptation of hydrogen orbitals greatly simplifies the interaction diagram. Note that only one of the symmetry types involves the mixing of more than two orbitals.
- the molecular orbitals can be approximately divided into two sets: two O-H bonding MOs and two with (inequivalent) lone-pair character.
- the HOMO has pure oxygen p character.