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Inorganic chemistry became a passion for me as a graduate student in the 1950s. It was exciting to be part of the renaissance of the discipline, and I am pleased to have contributed to its strength. Physical concepts applied to the understanding of the properties of transition metal compounds guided our work initially. In the 1970s, probably as a direct result of the world abandoning the gold standard, the chemistry of gold was awakened after a long sleep. Much of the chemistry covered in this review of our work relates to novel compounds of gold and the properties they display which have been uncovered during the last 25 years of the 20th century. Stable metal–metal bonded Au(II) and organometallic Au(III) compounds, bi- and trimetallic oxidative addition, phosphorescent species with microsecond lifetimes, gold chains resulting from aurophilic bonding stronger than H-bonding, and recently, gold binding to organic \( \pi \) acids have intrigued my group and other gold chemists during this period.

Introduction. There are few times in a scientist’s career that the opportunity arises to describe a major portion of his own work in a journal as important as Inorganic Chemistry. I am delighted to be invited to do this and herein will attempt to describe my primary contributions, especially those that relate to gold chemistry, recognizing that the real work was that of my students and postdoctorals who are acknowledged in the references and tables.

My inorganic chemistry interest began at Valparaiso University when I did undergraduate research studies with Byron Ferguson on the use of thioacetamide, \( \text{CH}_3\text{C(S)NH}_2 \), as a reagent to precipitate metal sulfides. With some metal ions, coordination compounds of unknown structure form prior to metal sulfide precipitation. Unfortunately I was able to contribute little then to the knowledge of these materials which subsequently decompose into metal sulfides. Success came easier with \( \beta \)-diketonate studies at the Massachusetts Institute of Technology under the guidance of F. A. Cotton. Although I had entered MIT to work in radiochemistry, Charles Coryell encouraged me to explore the developing opportunities in physical inorganic chemistry with Cotton. The structural discovery, using the rudimentary X-ray crystallography of the day, that the nickel(II) complex of acetylacetonate, \( \text{Ni(acac)}_2 \), was a trimer (Figure 1) with a paramagnetic, six-coordinate Ni(II) was novel. It was not the “high-spin” square planar complex most had suggested it to be. Later we showed spectroscopically that \( \text{Ni(acac)}_2 \) was a diamagnetic, planar molecule in the gas phase. Many new results were obtained which related to the oligomerization of metal \( \beta \)-ketoenolates generally, including base adducts of some of these complexes. After I wrote a major review covering metal \( \beta \)-ketoenolates in 1966, I remained interested in the synthesis and properties of metal complexes of these ligands for many more years. Some of our most significant work that followed related to the influence of the Jahn–Teller, JT, effect (Figure 2) on the structural and spectroscopic properties of metal complexes with \( \beta \)-ketoenolates and related ligands.

Jahn–Teller Studies. Our first effort with group 11 chemistry was developed with \( \beta \)-ketoenolate complexes of Cu(II). The JT behavior of six-coordinate Cu(II) and then Cr(II) and Mn(III) complexes was a focus of our work for a...
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...number of years and involved the studies of some gifted people. Optical powder reflectance, single-crystal polarized electronic, and electron paramagnetic resonance spectroscopic studies were important, and the EPR spectra showed that JT distortion barriers could be very small, even in the solid state. A liquid crystal technique we developed later was applied by Hoffman to studies of inorganic and biological materials. This work showed that the EPR of “flat” molecular metal β-ketoenolates could be used to determine spin-polarization directions in frozen liquid crystals. In our Jahn–Teller studies, we were fortunate to recognize that the previously reported, nearly octahedral structure of the MnO6 bonding in Mn(acac)3 was incompatible with its visible spectrum and successfully showed that the molecule actually is tetragonally distorted. The previously reported

\[ \text{Figure 1.} \text{ Nickel acetylacetonate trimer structure and the change that takes place when bulky β-ketoenolate ligands are used.} \]

\[ \text{Figure 2.} \text{ Jahn–Teller potential energy surface.} \]


structure of Mn(acac)₃ apparently was that of Cr(acac)₃, accidentally mounted on the diffractometer by a technician not familiar with the different colors of these materials. V. Day later found another tetragonally distorted polymorph of Mn(acac)₃. Incidentally, we also demonstrated that water forms H-bonds to this and other metal β-ketoenolates, producing a chelated water O–H stretch vibration seen in the infrared. A very successful and enjoyable outcome of our Jahn–Teller effort was my editing of the important comprehensive “Modern Inorganic Chemistry Series” volume on the Jahn–Teller effect by Isaac Bersuker. Metal–Sulfur Chemistry. Metal–sulfur coordination chemistry was developed very successfully in my laboratory with the advent of Dimitri Coucouvanis. Dimitri’s work involved excellent syntheses with 1,1-dithiolate ligands. This led to the first copper(I) metal cubane structure (Figure 3) as found in the anion [Cu₈(i-MNT)₆]⁴⁻. Dimitri also developed the chemistry of “sulfur-rich” complexes with their disulfide containing chelate rings (Figure 4). Other students also contributed substantially to this new metal–sulfur chemistry. David Fries (along with Kerro Knox) taught me how to do X-ray crystallography. Al Sattelberger taught our group much organometallic synthesis as a post-doctoral, although we published only one paper together. Recently, my group has returned to copper—and silver—sulfur cluster chemistry with the first result being the beautiful butterfly cluster structures (Figure 5) of the mercaptocubanes. We found that dithiophosphates not only readily form typical tetrahedral clusters like the 1,1-dithiolates but also form cubanes, but with an anion such as S²⁻, Br⁻, or Cl⁻ in the center of the cube (Figure 6). A former student has continued studies in this area and has found that Se²⁻ also can be incorporated into a [Cu₈Se₁₂] cube.

The chemistry of sulfur ligand complexes like the dithiophosphates, -phosphonates, and -phosphinates, xanthates, dithiocarbamates, and dithioacid derivatives has fascinated my group for nearly 40 years. Could it have been the industrial job offer that I received when I was finishing my Ph.D., or my undergraduate research, that stimulated my desire to do research with these molecules? Union Carbide had wanted me to work on xanthate flotation agents. After Coucouvanis left Case Western Reserve University, he

---

continued to be a leader in the development of new chemistry of metal–sulfur compounds, particularly those compounds relevant to biological processes.  

Catalysis. Interest in metal-based catalysis has stimulated work in my group for many years although I have published relatively little in this area. It is my general belief that industry often is better poised to make practical advances than academicians, although clearly there are many prominent exceptions. Ni(SacSac)CIPr and its chemistry 30 got me interested in Zeigler—Natta catalysts. As a result I consulted with BF Goodrich and Firestone (now Bridgestone) for many years on Zeigler elastomer polymerization catalysis, 31 and on metal–sulfur chemistry related to vulcanization 32 and the adhesion of rubber to steel belts. This is copper–sulfur chemistry since the steel cord in tires is coated with copper which bonds to the sulfur linkages in the vulcanized rubber. One of the nickel-based catalytic systems that I worked on actually became a commercial process for production of cis-polybutadiene. I have worked at Texas A&M with an engineering colleague, Aydin Akgerman, and a few postdoctorals on metal-based hydroformylation and hydrogenation catalysis in supercritical CO2. 33 Gold cluster catalysis also has taken an important place in my work through collaboration with my colleague D. Wayne Goodman.

Gold Chemistry Begins. My interest in gold chemistry began in the 1970s when my second Greek student asked about doing some gold(III) chemistry. Since other d8 metal complexes long had been studied in our group and we were recognizing catalytic potential for some of them, I thought that gold(III) compounds might be able to produce stable organometallic species that would mimic organometallic intermediates possibly present in commercial catalytic processes. The stability of the Au–C bond seemed to be more pronounced than Rh–C or Pd–C bonds. Furthermore Au was not then known, nor now, to form binary hydrides. This latter fact would reduce the likelihood that organometallic intermediates would decompose by hydride elimination. Reduction to the element was a potential problem, however. The neutral ylides, CH3Y, where Y = PR3, SOR2, or SR, looked like good candidates for the formation of stable, nonreducing Au–C bonds (vide infra). This turned out to be the case, and we successfully synthesized and characterized the first air-stable gold(III) compounds which contained only σ Au–C bonding (Figure 7).

The sulfoxide- and sulfonyl-ylide analogues of the phosphorus Wittig reagents are particularly interesting since the ylides themselves are very reactive. When phosphines and other bases are added to a solution containing the gold ylide complex, the ylide is removed in situ to react in its usual manner with ketones or other carbonyls in the solution. 37 The only other tetracoordinate Au(III) complex with four


Au–C aliphatic σ bonds known at the time, the anion [Au(CH2)2(PR2)2]− is pyrophoric in air. This remarkable stability of the ylide complexes appears to be attributable to the presence of positive charge on the P or S atom which gives rise to a large, electron-holding dipole moment for the compounds.38,39 This helps prevent decomposition by the well-established Kochi electron-transfer mechanism.40

**Metal–Metal Bonding in Oxidative Addition.** Hubert Schmidbaur41 at the Technical University in Munich (Garching) also recognized the importance of ylide complexes of gold and reported that the ylide anions produced dinuclear Au(I) compounds which display a fascinating oxidative addition chemistry (Figure 8). Although the structure was not determined at that time, Schmidbaur suggested that CH3I added to [Au(CH2)2(PR2)2] to form a stable Au(II) compound, CH3[Au(CH2)2PR2]I. This was very interesting to me and added to [Au(CH2)2(PR2)2] to form a stable Au(II) compound, addition process. Bosnich43 had demonstrated that adjacent metal ions inhibit oxidative addition by the very presence of positive charge on the P or S atom which gives rise to a large, electron-holding dipole moment for the compounds.38,39 This helps prevent decomposition by the well-established Kochi electron-transfer mechanism.40

**Figure 8.** The two isomers formed upon oxidative addition of A2 to a dinuclear ylide complex.

Figure 7. The structure of (CH2)2AuCH2PPh2.
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Figure 9. Line drawing of the Au(III)/Au(II) and Au(II)−Au(II) isomers of the MTP complex.

that, for metal atoms with a low-lying excited state, promotion to an open-shell excited state is required in oxidative addition. As a result we rationalized that, if an adjacent metal atom is capable of stabilizing this excited state through acceptance of electron density from the excited state or by metal−metal bond formation, Schmidbaur’s interesting oxidative addition might be explained. Formation of a Au−Au bond in the excited state could promote the oxidative addition process for these dinuclear ylide compounds by stabilizing this state. Our work over the next few years established the formation of a short Au−Au bond in the products of oxidative addition. Indeed, Che and Miskowski45 recently demonstrated by resonance Raman spectroscopy that Au−Au bond formation occurs in the photoexcited state of related dinuclear gold(I) compounds.

A large number of new substances resulted from competition with the Garching (Munich) group, in which the dinuclear Au(I) complexes were converted into new metal−metal bonded Au(II) species.46,47 New dinuclear Au(III) complexes also resulted from further oxidation and rupture of the metal−metal bond. The isolation and X-ray structural characterization of both the Au(II)−Au(II) and Au(I)−Au(II) isomers48 with the anionic ligand diphenylmethyleneithiophosphinato, MTP, [CH2P(S)Ph2]2−, was a major breakthrough for us (Figure 9). Many of the ylide materials studied in our group have been reviewed


While Mazany pioneered the MTP work and moved with me from Case Western Reserve to Texas A&M, it was Hal Murray, my first postdoctoral at A&M, who guided my group and taught them much excellent synthesis. We even used colored glassware and put yellow filters on fluorescent lights to prevent them from destroying some of our light sensitive Au(I) compounds. Murray also helped write up the results which came fast and furiously at the time I was learning how to be a dean. I kept my group in the Laboratory for Molecular Structure and Bonding directed by Al Cotton since this ensured a continuous, critical evaluation of the work. A good student crystallographer of mine and Larry Falvello, Cotton’s expert crystallographer at the time, helped make sure that the X-ray crystallography was done correctly. All of our students learned this tool and used it well.

I believe that our success with X-ray structures prompted Schmidbaur to develop routine use of crystallography in his laboratory, a tool he has exploited beautifully. A few years later, Richard Staples contributed much to the X-ray crystallography of my group, as he still does periodically in his current position at Harvard. A synthetic outcome (Figure 11) of the work with the ylide complexes of gold was the success we achieved in isolating and characterizing a number of different isomers of dinuclear gold(II) and gold(III). The various diphenyl ylide isomers of gold(II) and gold(III) that have been obtained and characterized are pictured (Figure 12).

(Figure 10).


relatively short, shorter than van der Waals Au(I) separations were predicted to be. In the dinuclear dithiocarbamate, [Au-(S$_2$CNEt$_2$)$_2$], this distance is so short (less than 2.8 Å) that interpreting the frequency of the Au–Au stretch was not easily nor correctly done until long after it became known that the molecules undergo oxidative addition with halogens. This special feature of the bonding of gold compounds has been labeled as “aurophilic” bonding by Schmidbaur even though the symmetry of the orbital functions of the ground state which are directed along the intermetallic axis is antibonding. The origin of the aurophilic behavior is thought to be related to relativistic and correlation effects associated with the heavy Au(I) atoms and their 6s valence shell. The role of the relatively accessible bonding excited state in contributing to this aurophilic bonding has not been well evaluated, although it is obvious from emission spectra that we and others have obtained that the triplet excited state in dinuclear gold compounds may be as close as 2 eV from the ground state.

Most of us learned early in our chemical career that electron pair bonds require two electrons and two orbitals, one from each of the atoms in the bond. For the products obtained in the oxidative addition of halogens to dinuclear Au(I) compounds, [AuL$_2$], the three new bonds formed involve an electron from each of the two Au(I) atoms and two electrons from the X$_2$. One pair of these electrons is used to form the metal–metal bond in this system (Figure 13). In the Goddard argument, the two gold electrons available for the metal–metal bond are a result of promotion from the filled d$_z^2$ orbital on each Au(I) to the hybrid (d-sp) orbital along the Au–Au axis. Thus it was most exciting when David Briggs discovered that the trinuclear [Au(MTP)$_2$Pt(MTP)$_2$Au] complex (Figure 14) had produced an oxidative addition product across the metal atoms with new metal–metal bonds between each Au–Pt atom pair. The formation of four electron pair bonds requires that the [AuPtAu] unit contribute six of the eight electrons and six of the orbitals needed for the new product. The expected result, especially if the central metal atom is only a spectator, is seen in the upper sketch of Figure 14. Once the structure was obtained, we realized that the Pt(II) atom in the oxidized product looked structurally like Pt(IV), with six covalent bonds surrounding it. It became apparent that the Pt(II) atom in [Au(MTP)$_2$Pt(MTP)$_2$Au] was being promoted from its ground state with its filled 5d$_z^2$ orbital (square planar PtS$_4$ coordination), into an open-shell state, analogous to the excitation of the Au(I) atoms. The empty 6p$_z$ orbital (on Pt(II)) directed toward the Au atoms provided the accessible accepting orbital (Figure 15). The [AuPtAu] cluster had undergone an oxidative addition in which the trinuclear unit had lost two electrons to the halogen. As far as “oxidation” was concerned, the [AuPtAu]$^{6+}$ unit in the product can be formulated as either [AuPt$^{6+}$Au]$^{6+}$ or [Au$^{6+}$Pt$^{6+}$Au$^{6+}$]. While the latter formula-

---

**Figure 12.** Isomers of Au(II)–Au(II), Au(III)–Au(I), and Au(III)–Au(III) ylide complexes isolated and characterized structurally.

**Figure 13.** Orbital shapes for the HOMO and LUMO and Fenske–Hall energies for the Au(I) and Au(II) MTP complexes.

---


addition depending upon the ease of metal—metal bond formation between the metal atoms involved.

Cotton has since discovered a number of similar trinuclear systems with other metals. When one of the elements involved cannot participate in metal—metal bond formation because there are no available electrons or orbitals or both, such as in \([\text{Au}{}^{+}\text{Pt}^{-}\text{Au}^{+}]\), oxidative addition does not occur. With gold, the trinuclear \([\text{Au}{}^{+}\text{Pt}^{-}\text{Au}^{+}]^{-}\) system unfortunately appears to be unique, to date, although one might anticipate that similar results could occur with \([\text{Au}{}^{+}\text{Pt}^{-}\text{Au}^{+}]^{3+}\) or \([\text{Au}{}^{+}\text{Pt}^{-}\text{Au}^{+}]^{2+}\) clusters. The \([\text{Au}{}^{+}\text{ylide}]_{2}\text{Au}^{0}\text{ylide}\text{Au}^{+}\) (ylide = \((\text{CH}_{3})_{2}\text{PPh}_{2}\)) cation, which is electronically similar to the \([\text{Au}{}^{+}\text{Pt}^{-}\text{Au}^{+}]^{-}\) cluster, apparently shows no oxidative addition chemistry. The isoelectronic mercury complex, \([\text{Hg}{}^{0}\text{MTP}]_{2}\text{Pt}^{0}\text{MTP}][\text{Hg}{}^{0}\text{MTP}]\), also does not undergo oxidative addition with halogens.

Chains of metal atoms able to undergo oxidation and metal—metal bond formation now exist. With gold, the longest chain to date involves six gold atoms with terminal \(\text{C}_{6}\text{F}_{5}\) units. However, not all \(\text{Au—Au}\) bonds are alike in this system, and reductive elimination to a stable hexanuclear species does not take place.

Regarding metal—metal bonded species, Yam has successfully isolated and characterized an unsupported \(\text{Au(II)—Au(II)}\) compound, with no bridging ligands, the only example to date.

The absence of formation of a stable metal—metal bond by oxidative addition did not preclude interesting properties from being found with many other dinuclear and trinuclear \(\text{Au(I)}\) compounds. The relatively accessible excited state with \(\text{Au(I)}\), which is capable of being perturbed by different ligands and neighboring metal atoms, has led to a rich photoluminescence chemistry with \(\text{Au(I)}\) compounds. This has been especially true with those compounds that have intermolecular aurophilic bonds. \([\text{Au(MTP)}_{2}]^{3+}\), for example, forms chains of gold atoms with intermolecular distances, 3.2 Å, only slightly longer than the 3.0 Å intramolecular distance. The solid state material is strongly luminescent. The trinuclear \([\text{Au}{}^{+}\text{Pt}^{-}\text{Au}^{+}]^{3+}\) and \([\text{Au}{}^{+}\text{Pt}^{-}\text{Au}^{+}]^{2+}\) species also form linear chain materials which are luminescent in the solid state. The latter compound also shows semiconducting properties. Interestingly, this compound, upon crystallization with \(\text{THF}\), displays a very different color and a different emission spectrum from the compound formed without any solvent in the lattice. These unusual optical properties suggest the possibility of device formation such as has been established by Mann for linear chain \(\text{Pt}\) compounds and recently by Eisenberg with a \(\text{Au(I)}\) dithiocarbamate.

![Figure 14](image1.png)

**Figure 14.** The oxidative addition of halogens to trinuclear complexes. Addition occurs to \(\text{Au—Pt—Au}\) but fails with \(\text{Au—Pb—Au}\). Oxidative addition at single gold center for the \(\text{Au—Pt—Au}\) complex has not been achieved.

![Figure 15](image2.png)

**Figure 15.** Representation of the HOMO and LUMO orbitals for the trinuclear \(\text{AuPtAu MTP}\) complex and its oxidized product.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Details</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(57) See page 31 in ref 41.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
characterized the $\text{Ag(MTP)}_2^2$. Recently the mixed-metal interaction contributes to the short intramolecular one metal center. It is believed that the polarity of the metal–Ag(I) products. The mixed-metal structure, unlike the Au(I) characterized. This compound has a shorter $M_r$ (65) Wang, S.; Fackler, J. P., Jr.; Carlson, T. F. Organosilver Complexes. 

When I moved to Texas A&M, I covered most of our early work in Schlenk equipment made in our laboratory with UV-blocking translucent paper and when I moved to Texas A&M, I covered all fluorescent lights that may be light sensitivity. By avoiding fluorescent lights, fixtures suggested to a CWRU undergraduate that the problem may have a couple of postdoctorals fail to reproduce it, I

Before I comment on developments from our laboratory regarding the optical properties of Au(I) compounds, I want to mention that the Ag(I) ylide system also has intrigued us. The ylide disilver complex [Ag$_2$(MTP)$_2$] has been prepared and characterized. This compound has a shorter M–M intramolecular interaction than either the homo-nuclear Au(I) or Ag(I) products. The mixed-metal structure, unlike the Au(I) and Ag(I) complexes but like one of the isolomers of the [HgAu(MTP)$_2$]$^+$ cation, has two M–S (Ag–S) bonds on one metal center. It is believed that the polarity of the metal–metal interaction contributes to the short intramolecular distance observed (Table 1). Incidentally, Suning Wang also contributed substantially to the discovery of a new insertion reaction and the syntheses and characterization of the AuTl, AuHg, and AuPbAu complexes.

Gold Clusters. I would be remiss not to describe here the contribution another postdoctoral, Richard Winpenny, made to gold cluster chemistry while with me. A close-packed “magic number” Au$_{55}$ structure had been proposed for a cluster involving PPh$_3$ and halide coordination. Lou Pignollet had repeated the synthesis of this species, and by using $^{252}$Cf plasma desorption mass spectroscopy, a tool invented at Texas A&M by R. D. Macfarlane, we were able to demonstrate that the synthetic procedure reported in Inorganic Syntheses gives, in our hands, a mixture of gold clusters. Catherine McNeal, Macfarlane’s scientific colleague, provided the expertise for the interpretation of the spectra. The most reasonable conclusion was that the clusters synthesized are composed of linked icosahedra, similar to the mixed Ag/Au clusters characterized structurally by Teo. This work led to our subsequent contributions to the gold cluster catalysis currently underway in collaboration with the Goodman group.

The chelating ylide and MTP chemistry suggested that dithiophosphates and related sulfur compounds of Au(I) might produce similar dinuclear Au(I) complexes of interest. Little work had been reported previously. This chemistry was pursued beautifully by a Ph.D. student who synthesized many new dithiophosphates, -phosphinates, and -phosphonates.

Table 1. Intramolecular and Intermolecular Distances Observed for Dinuclear MTP Complexes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>M, M’</th>
<th>intramolecular</th>
<th>intermolecular</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Au, Au</td>
<td>~3.0</td>
<td>~3.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ag, Ag</td>
<td>~3.0</td>
<td>~3.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ag, Au</td>
<td>~2.9</td>
<td>~3.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Related work is continuing in my laboratories. New synthetic procedures (Figures 17 and 18) have been developed, and a very nice example of reversible oxidative halogen addition and elimination has been achieved (Figure 19). The chemistry has opened up a new class of luminescent materials with considerable versatility. Linear chain products form with many of these dithiophosphorus compounds and show room temperature emission spectra with low-energy bands not present in the isolated molecules, which are generally luminescent only at low temperatures.

**Emission Spectra.** The emission spectroscopy of Au(I) compounds has led to many interesting results in our group, a portion of which has been reviewed. Visible luminescence is observed in mononuclear, three-coordinate Au(I) compounds in the solid state or in solution. These luminescent compounds often show an excitation to a state which lives for microseconds, a surprising result considering the large spin–orbit effects for heavy atoms. Dinuclear and other aurophilically bonded Au(I) compounds generally also luminesce under UV excitation, but some of them do this only at temperatures below room temperature.

With strong donors such as phosphines and sulfur ligands, ligand-to-metal charge transfer, LMCT, can occur to an excited state which converts to a metal-centered triplet in the complexes. For the three-coordinate phosphine complexes, the excited state appears to be a triplet state involving an orbital perpendicular to the trigonal plane of the molecule and an orbital in this plane. A transition back to the ground state singlet is symmetry and spin forbidden. This excited state is easily perturbed by ligands that can coordinate to the trigonal gold axis to produce four-coordinate, “tetrahedral” products. With the triphosphaadamantane ligand, TPA (Figure 20), it was possible to isolate and characterize four-coordinate, nearly perfectly tetrahedral, nonluminescent products. However, with a 3:1 ligand-to-metal ratio in a water solution, the liquid phase is strongly luminescent. The luminescence is pH dependent since the pH influences the equilibrium distribution of two-, three-, and four-coordinate products in solution, with only the three-coordinate species being emissive. Unfortunately the three-coordinate TPA product could not be isolated. However, with the trisulfonated triphenylphosphine ligand, TPPTS (Figure 20), the Au(I) product exists as a three-coordinate species in water even with excess TPPTS present. Thus with some good fortune, a student was able to isolate crystals of the cesium

---


salt and a good crystal structure was obtained. The large size of the TPPTS ligand prevents a fourth TPPTS ligand from bonding to the Au(I). TPA and other small ligands will quench the visible luminescence, however, presumably by interacting with or coordinating to the Au(I) to form a four-coordinate complex. Water which remains present in the crystal lattice does not quench the luminescence. A paper describing details of this work is in press. The geometry about the Au(I) clearly influences the emission spectra for these mononuclear compounds, but electron transfer quenching also can take place from halocarbons and other “oxidizing” ligands. The possibilities for spectrochemical sensing applications are abundant.

The first evidence of visible luminescence with gold compounds was discovered by Zvi Dori. Gray and a number of his former students, and their students, also have contributed substantially to the understanding of luminescence in closed-shell systems. Roundhill has written a book which has surveyed some of the advances in this area. For studies of gold, my review with Forward and Assefa and the review by Bowmaker should be consulted. A recent review paper by Yam focuses upon results from her group.

The review by Bowmaker should be consulted. A recent studies of gold, my review with Forward and Assefa and which has surveyed some of the advances in this area. For the presence or absence of small molecules in the lattice. We have noted that the presence of intermolecular interactions in a series of dithiophosphates and dithiophosphinates leads to the appearance of a low-energy emission band which is absent in similar compounds which have no intermolecular Au(I)····Au(I). We have examined this with a number of new dinuclear dithiophosphinates and, so far, find no exceptions. It appears that intermolecular aurophilic interactions induce the formation of a relatively long-lived LMMCT transition that is perturbed by the neighboring Au(I) atoms. The results of Eisenberg with the dithiocarbamate complex [Au(S₂CN(C₃H₇))₂]⁺, which changes crystal structure upon reaction with VOCs (volatile organic compounds), appear to be similar.

**Trinuclear Au(I) Compounds.** An especially interesting class of Au(I) compounds are those materials that have three Au(I) atoms bridged by −N=N=− or −N=N− ligands, the carbeniates, benzylimidazolates, and pyrazolates (Figure 21). Several years ago we recognized that oxidative addition to the trinuclear 2,5-diphenylpyrazolate complex of Au(I), [Au(pyraz)]₃, occurs at only one Au(I) center. Using aqua regia, halogen addition to the pyrazolate rings occurs as well, with two of the gold centers remaining Au(I). This does not appear to be the case with the carbeniates or benzimidazolates where all three gold atoms can be oxidized stepwise to Au(III).

Several of the benzimidazolates and the carbeniates aurophilically bond intermolecularly in the solid state with four of the six gold atoms in a hexanuclear unit having short Au(I)····Au(I) contacts. No evidence for a similar structure has been published with the pyrazolates, but a polymorph under current investigation shows aurophilic behavior. In 1997 Balch made a spectacular discovery that a special

**Excitation and emission are described in terms of LMMCT (metal····metal). The implication in LMMCT nomenclature is that the excited state is produced from excitation out of largely a ligand-based ground state and that this excited state involves more than a single metal center. In this case metal····metal bond formation occurs in the excited state. Clearly this also is the situation with many compounds studied in our laboratory. While this work will not be reviewed and critiqued here, it is important to point out that intermolecular metal····metal interactions produce some very interesting results, some of which appear to lead to the formation of electronic devices.**

With dinuclear Au(I)····Au(I) compounds such as the dithiocarbamates, dithiophosphates, and related materials which have both intermolecular and intramolecular aurophilic interactions, emission (from the solid) can be sensitive to the presence or absence of small molecules in the lattice. Furthermore the absorption (and emission) energies vary with changes that can occur in the Au(I)····Au(I) distance which can be influenced by temperature or the presence of small molecules in the lattice. We have noted that the presence of intermolecular interactions in a series of dithiophosphates and dithiophosphinates and, so far, find no exceptions. It appears that intermolecular aurophilic interactions induce the formation of a relatively long-lived LMMCT transition that is perturbed by the neighboring Au(I) atoms. The results of Eisenberg with the dithiocarbamate complex [Au(S₂CN(C₃H₇))₂]⁺, which changes crystal structure upon reaction with VOCs (volatile organic compounds), appear to be similar.**

**Figure 20.** Water soluble complexes of Au(I) with TPA and TPPTS ligands. The X-ray structure of the [Au(TPPTS)]⁺ anion as found in the cesium salt is seen in the color drawing.
carbeniate, [Au(MeN=COMe)]₃, stacked in an arrangement which produces three infinite linear chains of Au(I) atoms, triangles stacked upon each other. Yet, in the hexagonal crystal structure, there is also a stacking in which the triangular [Au(MeN=COMe)]₃ units are offset, rotated by 60°. Irradiation of these crystals with UV light causes the material to emit red light with a long-lived emission. When a drop of solvent such as methylene chloride is placed upon the irradiated crystals in the dark, a bright yellow burst of light is observed. Balch called this a “solvoluminescence”.

Unfortunately, to date, the observation appears to be unique with [Au(MeN=COMe)]₃.

When Alfredo Burini and co-workers in Camerino, Italy, discovered that Ag(I) and Tl(I) were intercalated (Figure 22) into stacked chains of the trinuclear gold carbeniate and benzylimidazolate carbeniates, benzylimidazolates, and pyrazolates. Anions and solvent molecules found in lattice.

that Ag(I) and Tl(I) were intercalated (Figure 22) into stacked chains of the trinuclear gold carbeniate and benzylimidazolate carbeniates, benzylimidazolates, and pyrazolates.93 Furthermore, these new materials showed interesting luminescent properties in the solid state. It became apparent that this is a general property of the trinuclear Au(I) carbeniate and benzylimidazolates and that the possibility existed that other aurophilic entities also might bond to the six Au(I) atoms of two trinuclear gold units. Furthermore, the structures showed that there is, in these compounds, an infinite chain ABAABA pattern, where B is Ag(I) or Tl(I) and A is the trinuclear gold unit.

Furthermore, the structures showed that there is, in these compounds, an infinite chain ABAABA pattern, where B is Ag(I) or Tl(I) and A is the trinuclear gold unit. The two


(91) Mohamed, A.; Fackler, J. P., Jr. To be published.


It was not surprising that this theory showed the gold base ideas of Dennis Dougherty and then successfully his group was finding that even benzene gave a TR(bzim) along with the formed a [TR(bzim)][Hg(C₆F₄)]₃ adduct (Figure 23) while the pattern produce different properties? Would electrically nucleophilic character. We also asked ourselves, can an A units, TR(carb) and TR(bzim), display a very strong aurophilic Au(I) bonds formed between “intermolecular” A units apparently contribute to the luminescence of the materials and to their structural stability. The trinuclear A units, TR(carb) and TR(bzim), display a very strong nucleophilic character. We also asked ourselves, can an ABAB pattern also be found, and if so, would this pattern produce different properties? Would electrically neutral, π acidic species also bond with the TR(carb) and TR(bzim) to form stacked acid—base pairs as observed for the cations?

This latter question was answered first. My colleague Francois Gabbai had been working with the very acidic [Hg(C₆F₄)]₃ complex. We discussed with him the acid—base ideas of Dennis Dougherty and then successfully formed a [TR(bzim)][Hg(C₆F₄)]₃ adduct (Figure 23) while the acid aromatic compounds such as 2,7-dinitro-, 2,4,7-trinitro-, and 2,4,5,7-tetranitro-9-fluorenones stack with the gold carbeniates. He was studying and was able to find that “large” π acid aromatic compounds such as 2,7-dinitro-, 2,4,7-trinitro-, and 2,4,5,7-tetranitro-9-fluorenones stack with the gold carbeniates. He avoided π acids containing potential ligands such as TCNQ, believing that the nitrogen of this π acid would bond to the gold as a typical ligand and decompose this. This proved not to be the case. Both ABAABAB and ABABAB patterns could be found with π acids. We have found that C₆F₆ produces the ABAB chain pattern with a TR(carb) (Figure 24) while the π acceptor TCNQ gives the ABAABA pattern that had been found with Ag(I), TI(I), and [Hg(C₆F₄)]₃. The TCNQ product also shows an interesting luminescence and semiconducting behavior by electron microscopy. A large single crystal showed no significant conductivity, however. Very recently, we have determined by ³¹P HOESY and PGSE NMR that aggregation of these TR(carb) and TR(bzim) species with [Hg(C₆F₄)]₃ occurs in solution.

In parallel with our studies involving small acidic π organics interacting with the TR(carb) and TR(bzim) species, Balch noted the π base character of the trinuclear carbeniates he was studying and was able to find that “large” π acid aromatic compounds such as 2,7-dinitro-, 2,4,7-trinitro-, and 2,4,5,7-tetranitro-9-fluorenones stack with the gold carbeniates. He avoided π acids containing potential ligands such as TCNQ, believing that the nitrogen of this π acid would bond to the gold as a typical ligand and decompose this. This proved not to be the case. Both ABAABAB and ABABAB patterns could be found with π acids. We have found that C₆F₆ produces the ABAB chain pattern with a TR(carb) (Figure 24) while the π acceptor TCNQ gives the ABAABA pattern that had been found with Ag(I), TI(I), and [Hg(C₆F₄)]₃. The TCNQ product also shows an interesting luminescence and semiconducting behavior by electron microscopy. A large single crystal showed no significant conductivity, however. Very recently, we have determined by ³¹P HOESY and PGSE NMR that aggregation of these TR(carb) and TR(bzim) species with [Hg(C₆F₄)]₃ occurs in solution.


(96) Dougherty, D. A. Science 1996, 271, 163. compound to be a strong base and the mercury compound to be a strong acid.⁹⁷
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Much remains to be understood regarding the luminescence of Au(I) complexes, but it is clear that aurophilic interactions influence strongly emission behavior. LMCT states also play very important roles. With the TR(carb) complex, a vibronic pattern in the emission spectrum, with a spacing consistent with a Raman line observed at 1425 cm$^{-1}$ implicates the carbeniate ligand in a LMCT or possibly a LMMCT transition. Further studies are needed.

**Abbreviations:** acac (acetylacetonato), pentane-2,4-dionato; JT, Jahn–Teller; EPR, electron paramagnetic resonance; i-MNT, maleonitrile-1,1-dithiolate, [S$_2$CC(CN)$_2$]$_2$$^{2-}$; SacSac, pentane-2,4-dithionato; MTP, diphenylmethyleneethiophosphinato, [CH$_2$P(S)Ph$_2$]$^{2-}$; ylide ligands (most often as anions), [(CH$_2$)$_2$PR$_2$]$^{2-}$; THF, tetrahydrofuran, C$_4$H$_8$O; LMCT, ligand-to-metal charge transfer; LMMCT, ligand-to-metal charge transfer when the excited state involves two or more metal atoms; TPA, triazaphosphaadamantane, C$_9$H$_{18}$N$_3$P; TPPTS, tris(m-sulfonatophenyl)phosphine, [P(m-SO$_3$Ph)$_3$]$^{3-}$; pyraz, [3,5-diphenylpyrazolato]$^{2-}$; carb, [RN=C-(OR)]$^{2-}$; bzim, [1-N,3-N(R)C$_3$H$_2$].
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