The Monocation and Monoanion of Re₂(μ-Cl)₂(μ-dppm)₂Cl₄ (dppm = Ph₂PCH₂PPh₂). An Unusual Pair of Complexes possessing Metal–Metal Bond Orders of 1.5 that differ in Electronic Configuration
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The one-electron oxidation and one-electron reduction of Re₂(μ-Cl)₂(μ-dppm)₂Cl₄ (dppm = Ph₂PCH₂PPh₂) can be accomplished using NOPF₆ and cobaltocene as the oxidant and reductant, respectively, to give paramagnetic ions that possess metal–metal bond orders of 1.5.

Edge-shared biotahedral structures are commonly encountered in transition metal chemistry, e.g. di-μ-halogeno bridged compounds of stoichiometry M₂X₄(PR₃)₄ (X = halide; PR₃ = phosphine donor), some of which possess metal–metal multiple bonds. We have recently identified the complex (1) (dppm = Ph₂PCH₂PPh₂), and some closely allied derivatives, as being of this structural type and as possessing a new type of Re–Re double bond. A study of the electrochemical properties of (1) has demonstrated the existence of an unexpectedly rich redox chemistry. These findings have led us to isolate the monocation and monoanion of (1), species that possess hitherto unknown types of
metal–metal bonds of order 1.5. Our results hint at the existence of a comparable chemistry for other halide-bridged metal–metal bonded compounds of this type.

$$\text{Re}_2(\mu-\text{Cl})_2(\mu-\text{dppm})_2\text{Cl}_4$$ (1)

$$[\text{Re}_2(\mu-\text{Cl})_2(\mu-\text{dppm})_2\text{Cl}_4]^+$$ (2)

$$[\text{Re}_2(\mu-\text{Cl})_2(\mu-\text{dppm})_2\text{Cl}_4]^-$$(3)

Cyclic voltammetric measurements on solutions of (1) in 0.2 M Bu$_4$NPF$_6$–CH$_2$Cl$_2$ show the presence of four (presumably metal-based) couples in the potential range +1.8 to -1.8 V. Those at $E_{1/2} = +1.63$ and +0.81 V vs. Ag/AgCl correspond to one-electron oxidations and those at $E_{1/2} = -0.54$ and -1.41 V vs. Ag/AgCl to one-electron reductions. This behaviour appears to be unprecedented for halide complexes of this structure type which possess multiple metal–metal bonds. Chemical oxidations and reductions have been used to prepare salts of the monocation (2) and the monoanion (3).

The addition of one equivalent of NOPF$_6$ or NOBF$_4$ to a suspension of the red–purple complex (1) in CH$_2$Cl$_2$ or MeCN results in the production of a deep blue–purple solution of the cation (2) with concomitant loss of NO(g). The product may be precipitated as its PF$_6^-$ or BF$_4^-$ salt in ca. 90% yield by the addition of diethyl ether to the reaction solution. The anionic species (3) is readily prepared by the addition of an acetone solution of cobaltocene to an equimolar quantity of (1) suspended in this same solvent. The use of cobaltocene as a reducing agent has proved effective in other multiply-bonded dinuclear systems.\(^5\)\(^6\)\(^7\) The reaction proceeds over a period of 0.5 h. by which time the light green product [\(\eta^5\text{-C}_{12}H_{11}]_2\text{Co}[\text{Re}_2\text{Cl}_6(\text{dppm})_2]\] is fully precipitated in essentially quantitative yield.\(^8\)

The paramagnetic salts of (2) and (3) possess electrochemical properties which show them to be derivatives of the Re$_7^{7+}$ and Re$_{5+}$ cores, respectively, and to have a close structural relationship to the parent complex (1).\(^9\)\(^10\) The couples at +0.81 and -0.54 V approached electrochemical reversibility,\(^6\) and the four couples were found to consist of one oxidation and three reductions in the case of (2), and three oxidations and one reduction for (3).\(^11\) An interesting spectroscopic observation concerns the low frequency Nujol mull i.r. spectra of these species. The low frequency spectra (below 400 cm$^{-1}$) are very similar, with the v(Re–Cl) modes of (1) 355, 312, and 282 cm$^{-1}$ (ref. 4) all undergoing a small increase in frequency to 360, 318, and 282 cm$^{-1}$ (2).\(^12\)

The structural identity of (2) has been proven by single crystal X-ray studies. Attempts to grow crystals of \([\text{Re}_2\text{Cl}_6(\text{dppm})_2]\text{PF}_6\) from CH$_2$Cl$_2$–ethyl acetate resulted in the very rapid hydrolysis (due to the presence of adventitious water) of the PF$_6^-$ anion to give [\(\text{Re}_2\text{Cl}_6(\text{dppm})_2\text{HPO}_4\cdot \text{H}_2\text{PO}_4\cdot 4\text{H}_2\text{O}\) [i.r. (Nujol) v(P–O) 1055 cm$^{-1}$]. There is precedent for such a hydrolysis,\(^8\) and for the existence of H$_3$PO$_4$ ‘solvates’ of H$_2$PO$_4^-$ salts.\(^9\) Spectroscopic characterizations and electrochemical measurements confirmed that the cation (2) had remained unchanged during the hydrolysis. The molecular structure of the cation (2) is shown in Figure 1.\(^1\) The phosphato groups in the salt are disordered; accordingly, it has not proved possible to distinguish between what is formally H$_3$PO$_4^-$ and H$_2$PO$_4^-$, although from the O···O distances they are obviously hydrogen-bonded one to the other.

---

\(^{†}\) All reactions were performed under anaerobic conditions and the products, especially (3), were stored under an Ar or N$_2$ atmosphere.

\(^±\) Microanalytical data and conductivity measurements in MeCN (\(\Lambda_m\) ca. 120 ohm$^{-1}$ cm$^{-1}$ mol$^{-1}$) are fully in accord with these formulations. Salts containing the ions (2) and (3) are paramagnetic as demonstrated by magnetic susceptibility and low temperature (–170 to –180°C) e.s.r. spectral measurements, e.g., $\mu_{eff} = 1.82$ $\mu_B$ for an acetonic solution of [\(\text{Re}_2\text{Cl}_6(\text{dppm})_2\text{PF}_6\)] as determined by the Evans method. Full details will be published in due course.

\(^§\) In the case of the cobaltocene salt of (3), an additional couple at $E_{1/2} = -0.86$ V vs. Ag/AgCl is due to (\(\eta^5\text{-C}_{12}H_{11}\))$_2\text{Co}^+$/\(\eta^5\text{-C}_{12}H_{11}\))$_2\text{Co}$. 

---

\(^{3}\) Crystal data for \([\text{Re}_2\text{Cl}_6(\text{dppm})_2]\text{HPO}_4\cdot \text{H}_2\text{PO}_4\cdot \text{H}_2\text{O} \cdot \text{C}_{12}\text{H}_6\text{Cl}_6\text{O}_2\text{P}_2\text{Re}_2\) $M = 1620.97$, monoclinic, space group $C2/c$, $a = 23.524(4)$, $b = 13.354(3)$, $c = 19.717(5)$ Å, $\beta = 93.60(2)^\circ$, $U = 6182(4)$ Å$^3$, $Z = 4$, $D_{calc} = 1.742$, $D_{exp} = 1.85$ g cm$^{-3}$, $F(000) = 3180$, $\mu(\text{Mo-K}\alpha) = 44.3$ cm$^{-1}$. The structure was solved by conventional heavy-atom methods and refined to $R(F) = 0.051$ and $R_w(F) = 0.059$ for 5418 reflections ($4^\circ < 2\theta < 50^\circ$) on an Enraf-Nonius CAD-4 diffractometer, using graphite-monochromated Mo-K$_\alpha$ radiation. A total of 3967 reflections having $F(25) > 2\sigma(F)$ were used in the full matrix least-squares refinement, using anisotropic thermal parameters on the Re, Cl, P, and C atoms, while the O atoms (phosphate and water) were isotropic. The hydrogen atoms were located in fixed positions but were not refined. The presence of the waters of hydration was ascertained from difference maps. An empirical absorption correction and an extinction correction were applied. The atomic co-ordinates for this work are available on request from the Director of the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre, University Chemical Laboratory, Lensfield Road, Cambridge CB2 1EW. Any request should be accompanied by the full literature citation for this communication.
Table 1. Examples of \( M_2(\mu-L)_2L_8 \) complexes that contain metal–metal bonds of order 1.5.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Complex</th>
<th>Electronic configuration</th>
<th>Metal core</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( \text{Re}_2\text{Cl}_6(\text{dpmm}) )( ^+ )</td>
<td>( \sigma^2\pi^2\delta^+\delta^2 )</td>
<td>( \text{Re}_2^7^+ )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \text{Re}_2\text{Cl}_6(\text{dpmm}) )( ^- )</td>
<td>( \sigma^2\pi^2\delta^2\pi^+\delta^4 )</td>
<td>( \text{Re}_2^{5^-} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \text{W}_2\text{Cl}_4(\mu-\text{OEt})_2(\text{hp})_2 )( ^- )</td>
<td>( \sigma^2\pi^2\delta^+\delta^* )</td>
<td>( \text{W}_2^7^+ )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \text{W}_2\text{Cl}_4(\mu-\text{OEt})_2(\text{OEt})(\text{py})_2 )( ^+ )</td>
<td>( \sigma^2\pi^3 )</td>
<td>( \text{W}_2^{4^+} )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\( ^a \) Based upon theoretical treatments as described in refs. 12 and 13. \( ^b \) hp is the monoanion of 2-hydroxypyridine. \( ^c \) py = pyridine.

The most notable structural feature is the Re–Re distance \( 2.6823(6) \, \text{Å} \), which is appreciably longer than that in \( 2.616(1) \, \text{Å} \).\(^4\) This is consistent with the reduction in Re–Re bond order from 2 in (1) to 1.5 in (2), although some of this bond lengthening may well be a consequence of a weakening in the \( \sigma \) and \( \pi \) bonds as a result of the increase in metal charge.\(^11\) This result provides good support for the prediction\(^12\) that the metal–metal bonding in (1) and (2) can be represented by the \( \sigma^2\pi^2\delta^*\delta^2 \) and \( \sigma^2\pi^2\delta^2\delta^3 \) ground state configurations, respectively. On the other hand, a one-electron reduction of (1) to paramagnetic (3) should lead to a \( \sigma^2\pi^2\delta^*\delta^2\pi^+ \) ground state configuration, which is also representative of a metal–metal bond order of 1.5. These results, when taken in conjunction with recent data for edge-shared biocetahedral ditungsten complexes that contain the \( \text{W}^{5^+} \) and \( \text{W}^{7^+} \) cores,\(^13,14\) indicate that for multiply-bonded dimetal complexes of this structure type there exist four different electronic configurations that correspond to formal metal–metal bond orders of 1.5 (see Table 1).
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