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The methylated metalloccenes (MeCp)$_2$M with M = V, Cr, Mn, Co, Ni (1–5) were investigated by $^1$H and $^2$H NMR spectroscopy at natural abundance. The $^1$H NMR signals are narrower by a factor of up to 30 compared with the corresponding $^2$H NMR signals, thus establishing an inexpensive method and a general improvement of the NMR spectra of paramagnetic π-complexes. This has allowed the resolution of the signal splitting of Cp deuterons of 1 and 5 which could not be observed earlier in the $^1$H NMR spectra. The origin of the small (1 and 5) and large (2–4) signal splittings is discussed and related to an extended Hückel calculation. The relative magnitude of the signal splitting is reproduced, but the signal assignment had to be deduced from $^1$C NMR results. Primary isotope shifts of up to 4.0 ppm were found for 1, 2, 4 and 5. The much higher values for 3 (up to 16.6 ppm) reflect the combined influence of the intrinsic isotope shift and the isotope effect on the spin crossover.
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INTRODUCTION

The use of $^2$H instead of $^1$H NMR spectroscopy has led to considerable progress in the characterization of paramagnetic organometallic compounds. In general, the signal half-width at a given temperature, $W_T$, is much smaller for $^2$H NMR signals, and for the metalloccenes (C$_5$H$_5$)$_2$M and (C$_5$D$_5$)$_2$M the theoretical factor of $W_T(^1$H)/$W_T(^2$H) = 42 has been attained. This effect has been applied to detect deuterons which are located so close to the paramagnetic metal centre of a complex that the corresponding $^1$H NMR signal is broadened beyond detection. Further, the spin crossover and the dimerization of manganocene could be clarified by using $^2$H NMR spectroscopy.

The line narrowing effect is, of course, related to an improved spectral resolution. In this work we wanted to test this for the bis(methyl-η$^5$-cyclopentadienyl)metal derivatives (MeCp)$_2$M because, for the series M = V, Cr, Mn, Co, Ni (1–5), a surprising metal-dependent signal splitting arises. For instance, less $^1$H NMR signals than expected from symmetry have been observed when M = V and Ni, and it was unclear whether this originated from resolution problems or whether the theory had to be modified. Because of the low receptivity of the deuteron and the large signal width encountered for paramagnetic molecules, deuterium-enriched samples had to be used in previous investigations. Here we demonstrate that the method is not necessarily expensive, because deuterium at the natural abundance level may be sufficient. Further, we demonstrate that the qualitative molecular orbital concept used for the general understanding of the NMR results of paramagnetic π-complexes is also instrumental in accounting for the peculiarities outlined below.

RESULTS

The liquid 1,1'-dimethylmetalloccenes 1–5 gave good $^2$H NMR spectra within an acceptable accumulation time. A typical example is the spectrum of 5 in Fig. 1, which was obtained after 3.5 h. This shows that less concentrated samples and/or a shorter recording time are adequate. The signal half-width $W_T(^2$H) is considerably smaller than $W_T(^1$H) for all compounds (cf. Table 1). In the case of 5 this leads to signals of D-2–5 that are well separated (Fig. 1, inset A), whereas only one signal
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Figure 1. 46 MHz $^2$H NMR spectrum of a mixture of (MeCp)$_2$Ni and (MeCp)$_2$Fe (St, internal standard) at 338 K. The scale is given arbitrarily relative to (MeCp)$_2$Fe. Inset: signals of D-2–5 at (A) 46 MHz and (B) H-2–5 at 300 MHz expanded by a factor of 9.
appears for H-2-5 at 200 MHz. The signals of H-2-5 could be partly resolved by changing from 200 to 300 MHz, but the additional effect of \(^2\text{H}\) signal narrowing is clearly visible in the inset in Fig. 1. For the corresponding vanadocene I only one signal could be observed for H-2-5 even at 300 MHz, whereas D-2-5 and D-3-4 were separated by 1.9 ppm in the \(^2\text{H}\) NMR spectrum (Fig. 2).

The paramagnetic shift \(\delta_{para}(\text{H})\) and, for comparison, \(\delta_{para}(\text{H})\) were determined directly by referencing relative to the corresponding signals of internal \(\text{MeCp}\), for M (MeCp),Fe, V, Cr, Mn, Co, Ni; 1-5) at 338 K. Scale as in Fig. 1 and only for the \(^2\text{H}\) NMR spectrum.

DISCUSSION

Origin of the signal splitting

There is a striking difference in the signal splitting of the Cp protons within the series \((\text{MeCp})_2\text{M}:\) for M = Cr, Mn and Co (2, 3 and 4) it is large, whereas for M = V and Ni (1 and 5) it is very small. For the understanding of these results an approach is desirable that is applicable for all \((\text{MeCp})_2\text{M}\) compounds, with slight modifications depending on the metal. Such an approach is MO theory combined with perturbation theory arguments, as illustrated in Fig. 3. Although calculated for \((\text{MeCp})_2\text{Ni}\), the scheme in Fig. 3B is useful for all \((\text{MeCp})_2\text{M}\) compounds because, as shown below, only e\(_{\pi}\)-type orbitals need be considered.

Starting from the Cp \(\pi\)-orbitals, substitution by a methyl group lifts the orbital degeneracy and places the symmetric e\(_{\pi}\) orbital (s-e\(_{\pi}\)) above the antisymmetric orbital (a-e\(_{\pi}\)) (Fig. 3A), similar to the situation in toluene. The same applies for the e\(_{\delta}\) orbitals. When two \([\text{MeCp}]^-\) ligands are arranged parallel to each other at the distance found in metallocenes we obtain \([\text{MeCp}]_2^-\) with further level splitting. Although here and for \((\text{MeCp})_2\text{M}\) in Fig. 3B the symmetry is C\(_{2v}\), the levels have been labelled as a, e\(_{\pi}\), and e\(_{\delta}\) for simplicity in order to maintain the relationship to unperturbed D\(_{5h}\) or D\(_{4h}\) metallocenes. An EHMO calculation provides a guide for these level splittings: \([\text{MeCp}]^-,\) e\(_{\pi}\), 0.22 eV, e\(_{\delta}\), 0.12 eV; \([\text{MeCp}]^-\) with a ligand separation of 3.60 Å, e\(_{\pi}\), 0.17/0.23 eV, e\(_{\delta}\), 0.10/0.14 eV. Note that the e\(_{\pi}\) orbitals of \([\text{MeCp}]_2^-\) which are appropriate for the interaction with metal 3d orbitals still have s-e\(_{\pi}\) above a-e\(_{\pi}\).

The diagram in Fig. 3B only shows those \(\pi\)-orbitals of \([\text{MeCp}]_2^-\) which interact with the metal valence AOs (3d, 4s, 4p). The result of the interaction is the well known two-above-three level pattern of metallocenes. The bonding e\(_{\pi}\) orbitals are also given in Fig. 3B, whereas levels of a symmetry and \(\pi\)-group MOs of \([\text{MeCp}]_2^-\), which only interact with metal 4p AOs, have been omitted for clarity.

Cobaltocene. We have shown previously that if only one unpaired electron is present in the e\(_{\pi}\) set, as is the case in \((\text{MeCp})_2\text{Co}\), it will strongly prefer the lower of the two e\(_{\pi}\) components. Let us suppose that as in Fig. 3B it is the one containing the a-e\(_{\pi}\) contribution of the ligand and the d\(_{\pi}\) metal AO. We then follow qualitatively the squared carbon 2p\(_{e}\) orbital coefficients, which

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Nucleus</th>
<th>(\delta_{para}(\text{H}))</th>
<th>(W)</th>
<th>(\Delta_{para}(\text{H}))</th>
<th>(\delta_{para}(\text{H}))</th>
<th>(W)</th>
<th>(\Delta_{para}(\text{H}))</th>
<th>(\delta_{para}(\text{H}))</th>
<th>(W)</th>
<th>(\Delta_{para}(\text{H}))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>(^1\text{H})</td>
<td>301.1</td>
<td>2750</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>301.1</td>
<td>2750</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>109.2</td>
<td>710</td>
<td>1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>(^2\text{H})</td>
<td>300.3</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>288.4</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>107.3</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>(^1\text{H})</td>
<td>327.7</td>
<td>2330</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>280.4</td>
<td>2040</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>31.9</td>
<td>340</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>(^2\text{H})</td>
<td>325.8</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>278.8</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>31.3</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>(^1\text{H})</td>
<td>-60.8</td>
<td>290</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-41.8</td>
<td>220</td>
<td>14.4</td>
<td>10850</td>
<td>16.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>(^2\text{H})</td>
<td>-60.8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-41.7</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>13.9</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(\Delta_{para}(\text{H})\) in ppm, negative sign for shifts to low frequency; signal half-width \(W\) in Hz.

Table 1. \(^2\text{H}\) NMR data for paramagnetic 1,1'-dimethylmetallocenes (M = V, Cr, Mn, Co, Ni; 1-5) at 338 K.

aParamagnetic shifts, \(\delta_{para}\) (+0.1 ppm), and isotope shifts, \(\Delta_{para}\), in ppm, negative sign for shifts to low frequency; signal half-width \(W\) in Hz.
b \(\pm 0.5\) ppm owing to overlapping or broad signals.
c \(\pm 1.0\) ppm owing to overlapping or broad signals.
in simple cases are a good measure of the spin density and thus of the hyperfine coupling constants $A(1^H)$ and $A(1^3C)$. Since $A(1^1H/1^3C)$ is proportional to the corresponding NMR signal shifts, the signal of H-2/5 should be much more shifted than that of H-3/4. This is in accord with the $1^3C$ NMR result showing $\delta_{para}(C-1) < \delta_{para}(C-3/4) < \delta_{para}(C-2/5)$ \cite{ref10} and confirms the level ordering given in Fig. 3B.

Nickelocene. Since the splitting of the $e_1^*$ orbitals is small, two unpaired electrons are present in (MeCp)$_2$Ni and both the a-$e_1$ and s-$e_1$ ligand orbitals are engaged in the spin delocalization. Therefore, we have to add the $c_1^*(C_2 p_x)$ values of the two orbitals, which yields very similar spin densities at all ring carbon atoms. An approximate idea is provided by the EHMO results for (MeCp)$_2$: C-1 0.351, C-3/4 0.359, C-2/5 0.389 (if only one $e_1^*$ orbital was engaged the splitting would be much larger: C-1, C-3/4, C-2/5 with 0.351/0.230/0.052 for a-$e_1^*$ and with 0/0.129/0.337 for s-$e_1^*$). Qualitatively this reflects very well the fact that positions 3/4 and 2/5 can be distinguished only by the more powerful $^2H$ NMR spectroscopy. The signal assignment for D-2/5 and D-3/4 is simple when based on the $^1C$ NMR results, and when the spin distribution associated with either the a-$e_1^*$ or the s-$e_1^*$ orbital (Fig. 3) dominates the signal shifts. For (MeCp)$_2$Ni we found $\delta_{para}(1^3C) = 1536 (C-1), 1510 (C-3/4) and 1356 (C-2/5)$ \cite{ref11} (note that we have since changed the sign convention). It follows that the s-$e_1^*$ orbital dominates and that the signal of D-3/4 is more shifted than that of D-2/5.

The dominance of the s-$e_1^*$ orbital seems to be reflected in the results of an EHMO calculation on (MeCp)$_2$Ni (Fig. 3B) where we find a metal contribution of 30% for a-$e_1^*$ and of 28.4% for s-$e_1^*$ at 0.10 eV higher energy. The reason for this is that the overlap $\langle a-e_1^*/d_{pz} \rangle$ is larger than $\langle s-e_1^*/d_{pz} \rangle$. However, when the $c_1(C_2 p_x)$ values of the two SOMOs are used to calculate the $A(1^3C)$ values according to Ref. 9b, the sequence of the $^1C$ NMR signals is opposite to the experimental result. The same is true if we correct the experimental result for the metal-centred dipolar shifts using the approach of Kurland and McGarvey \cite{ref12} and the data of Baltzer et al. \cite{ref13} and Seiler and Dunitz \cite{ref14} (-26.7 and -1.7 ppm for all carbon and hydrogen atoms of Cp, respectively) and for the ligand-centred dipolar shifts as described previously \cite{ref15} (35-38 ppm).

We conclude that, qualitatively, the NMR results for (MeCp)$_2$Ni are well understood. The best that can be stated for quantitative EHMO results is that they have a strong scatter, depending on the parameters. Actually, Rettig and Dragu \cite{ref16} have calculated a $\pi$ spin density sequence for (Cp)(MeCp)Ni which is inverse to ours. Their sequence is inverted again when a correction is made for $\pi$ spin density.

Chromocene. The NMR spectra of (MeCp)$_2$Cr are similar to those of cobaltocenes in that the splitting of the resonances for C-1-5 and the corresponding protons of substituted Cp ligands is large. The reversal of the shift sign is due to a polarization step in which the unpaired electron in the $e_2$ orbitals (3E$_2$, a', for Cp,Cr; cf. Fig. 3 with three electrons less) act on those in the $e_1$ orbitals. Therefore, one of the $e_1$ orbitals strongly dominates the spin delocalization. The polarization of paired $e_1$ electrons by unpaired $e_2$ electrons leads to the
same signal shift signs as for chromocenes. However, both the a-e, and s-e, ligand orbitals are now concerned in (MeCp)₂V (via the metallocene e, orbitals) in a similar manner as in (MeCp)₂Ni (via the metallocene e, * orbitals), and the NMR signal splitting is so small that it can only be resolved by using ¹H NMR spectroscopy. In view of the problems encountered with (MeCp)₂Ni we renounced an EHMO calculation of (MeCp)₂V as a basis for the signal assignment of D-2-5.

Instead, we followed the ¹³C NMR (the ¹³C spectra of essentially the high-spin isomer, which is prevalent at elevated temperature.²) clr 16 Although different signal assignments have been proposed, it has been established by comparing substituted manganocenes¹⁶ and by selective deuteriation that the signals of D-2-5 of (MeCp)₂Mn are less shifted than that of the methyl group. D-3/4 and D-2/5 are distinguished by comparison with (1,2-Me₂Cp)₂Mn¹⁶b, for which the Cp proton signal of intensity one is more shifted than that of intensity two. It follows that the ligand s-e, orbital dominates the spin delocalization. Qualitatively, the energy splitting of the ligand e orbitals is the same whether a el* orbitals), and the NMR signal splitting is so small that the a-e, orbital is more important and that the s-e, orbital is more important and that the s-e, orbital is more important while the s-e, orbital is more important.

Manganocene. For (MeCp)₂Mn we observe the NMR spectra of essentially the high-spin isomer, which is prevalent at elevated temperature.²¹ Although different signal assignments have been proposed, it has been established by comparing substituted manganocenes¹⁶ and by selective deuteriation that the signals of D-2-5 of (MeCp)₂Mn are less shifted than that of the methyl group. D-3/4 and D-2/5 are distinguished by comparison with (1,2-Me₂Cp)₂Mn¹⁶b, for which the Cp proton signal of intensity one is more shifted than that of intensity two. It follows that the ligand s-e, orbital dominates the spin delocalization. Qualitatively, the energy splitting of the ligand e orbitals is the same whether a Cp is substituted by two neighbouring methyl groups or by a single methyl. Therefore, we expect the s-e, orbital to be also more important for (MeCp)₂Mn, and the signal of D-3/4 to be more shifted than that of D-2/5. This is confirmed by the ¹³C NMR signal sequence with ̂δ^para(C-1) > ̂δ^para(C-3/4) > ̂δ^para(C-2/5).

Signal half-widths and isotope shifts

Although the signal half-widths could be reduced considerably by using ¹H NMR spectroscopy, the theoretical half-width ratio ̂W(²H) / ̂W(¹H) = 42.4 could not be attained. As can be calculated from the data in Table I the experimental ratio ranges from 10 to 30. We have previously discussed a number of factors which influence this ratio.³ In the present study the main problem was the inhomogeneity of the magnetic field, which affected the widths of the deuterium signals to the higher extent in the present study the main problem was the inhomogeneity of the magnetic field, which affected the widths of the deuterium signals more seriously than those of the proton signals.

The primary isotope shifts ̂δ^para(²¹H) given in Table I are similar to those found earlier for the parent metallocenes Cp₂M.¹ Whenever the error limit of approximately 0.5 ppm is exceeded, the sign all the isotope shifts of (MeCp)₂M (and Cp₂M¹, bearing in mind that we have inverted the sign convention) is the same for a given M. This is expected when the isotope effect is traced to a change in the overall spin density in the relevant metallocene orbitals on passing from ⁹H to ²H. It is unclear, however, how the isotope shifts depend on the different mechanisms of the spin delocalization.¹

The isotope shifts of (MeCp)₂Mn are much larger than those of the other metalloccenes and they increase with increase in the ̂δ^para values (Table I). The reason for this is that (MeCp)₂Mn exists as a mixture of high-spin isomers with large signal shifts and low-spin isomers with small signal shifts and partly inverted shift signs.³¹⁶b When the spin crossover experiences an isotope effect, the observed decrease of ̂δ^para on going from (MeCp)₂Mn to (MeCp)₂Mn-d₄ means that the equilibrium of the spin isomers is shifted to the low-spin isomer. We conclude that the slightly higher donor power of deuterium¹⁴ is responsible for the shift of the spin equilibrium. This is in line with the fact that an increasing number of methyl groups per manganocene also favours the low-spin isomer.³ The substituent effect on the spin crossover of manganocenes is so pronounced that it allows not only the detection of a deuterium isotope effect but also the synthesis of derivatives that are pure high- or low-spin species at ambient temperature.¹⁶b

EXPERIMENTAL

The 1,1'-dimethylmetallocenes 1-5 were prepared by published procedures⁴⁶c,⁷a,⁸c,¹⁶b,¹⁹ and investigated as mixtures with (MeCp)₂Fe (ca. 25%, w/w), in 10 mm tubes with ground-glass joints and stoppers, under argon at 338 K. The spectra were recorded on a Bruker MSL 300 spectrometer. All signal shifts and line widths were determined by signal fitting using the Bruker programs incorporated in DISNMR and WINNMR. The MO calculations were carried out using the extended Hückel method using standard parameters for C and H and a modified Wolfsberg–Helmholz expression for calculating H₀ off-diagonal elements.²⁰ The atomic parameters for Ni were those obtained previously after charge iteration for Cp₂Ni,²¹ and the molecular parameters were standard values (C–CH₃, 150 pm, Cp C–H 108 pm, CH₃ C–C 110 pm) or adapted from Cp₂Ni¹⁴ (Ni–C 216 pm, Cp C–C 140 pm).
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